GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION



Tariff Order

Truing up for FY 2020-21 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23

For

MPSEZ Utilities Ltd. (MUL)

Case No. 2036 of 2021 31st March, 2022

6thFloor, GIFT ONE, Road 5-C, GIFT City Gandhinagar-382355 (Gujarat), INDIA Phone: +91-79-23602000 Fax: +91-79-23602054/55 E-mail: gerc@gercin.org : Website www.gercin.org



GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION (GERC)

GANDHINAGAR

Tariff Order

Truing up for FY 2020-21 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23

For

MPSEZ Utilities Ltd. (MUL)

Case No. 2036 of 2021 31st March, 2022



CONTENTS

1.	Bac	kground and Brief History2	
	1.1	Background2	2
	1.2	MPSEZ Utilities Limited (MUL)	2
	1.3	Commission's Order for Truing up for FY 2015-16, approval of final ARR for F	Y
	2016	6-17 and approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 an	d
	dete	rmination of Tariff for FY 2017-18	3
	1.4	Commission's Order for approval of Truing up of FY 2016-17 and determination of)f
	Tarif	ff for FY 2018-193	3
	1.5	Commission's Order for Approval of True up of FY 2017-18, Mid-Term Review of)f
	FY 2	2019-20 & FY 2022-23 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2019-20	ļ
	1.6	Commission's Order for approval of Truing up of FY 2018-19 and determination of)f
	Tarif	ff of FY 2020-21 ²	ļ
	1.7	Commission's Order for approval of Truing up of FY 2019-20 and determination of)f
	Tarif	ff of FY 2021-22	ļ
	1.8	Background of the present Petition	ļ
	1.9	Registration of the Current Petition and Public Hearing Process	5
	1.10	Approach of this Order	;
	1.11	Contents of the Order7	7
2.	Sun	nmary of MUL's Petition	}
	2.1	Introduction	3
	2.2	True-up of FY 2020-21	3
	2.3	Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21	3
	2.4	ARR, Revenue at Existing Tariff, Revenue Gap and Tariff Proposal for FY 2022-2	3
		9	
	2.5	Revenue Gap/ (Surplus) for FY 2022-2310)
	2.6	Request of MUL10)
3.	Obi	ections and Suggestions12	,
	3.1	Stakeholders' suggestions / objections, Petitioner's Response and Commission'	
		ervations12	
4.		ing up for FY 2020-2113	
	4.1	Introduction	
	4.2	Energy Sales13	5



	4.3	Distribution Losses	.14
	4.4	Energy Requirement	.15
	4.5	Energy Availability	.16
	4.6	Power Purchase Cost	.17
	4.7	Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of Capex	.19
	4.8	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	.22
	4.9	Depreciation	.24
	4.10	Interest and Finance Charges	.26
	4.11	Interest on Working Capital	.28
	4.12	Interest on Security Deposit	.30
	4.13	Return on Equity	.32
	4.14	Income Tax	.33
	4.15	Contingency Reserve	.35
	4.16	Non-Tariff Income	.36
	4.17	Revenue from Sale of Power to Consumers	.37
	4.18	Summary of Aggregate Revenue Requirement and Sharing of Gains/ Losses	.38
	4.19	Net revenue Gap / (Surplus)	.41
5.	Dete	rmination of Tariff for FY 2022-23	43
	5.1	Introduction	.43
	5.2	Energy Sales	.43
	5.3	Distribution Losses	.45
	5.4	Energy Balance	.46
	5.5	Energy Availability and Power Purchase Cost	.48
	5.6	Renewable Purchase Obligation	.50
	5.7	Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of Capex	.51
	5.8	Operations and Maintenance Expenses	.55
	5.9	Depreciation	.57
	5.10	Interest and Finance charges	.58
	5.11	Interest on Security Deposit	.60
	5.12	Interest on Working Capital	.61
	5.13	Return on Equity	.62
	5.14	Income Tax	.63
	5.15	Contingency Reserve	.64
	5.16	Non-Tariff Income	
	5.17	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	.65



	5.18	Revenue at Existing Tariff and Gap/ (Surplus) Analysis	67
6.	Con	npliance of Directives	71
	6.1	Existing directives	71
7.	Fue	I and Power Purchase Price Adjustment	74
	7.1	Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment	74
	7.2	Base Price of Power Purchase (PPCB)	75
8.	Who	eeling Charges and Cross Subsidy Surcharge	76
	8.1	Wheeling Charges	76
	8.2	Determination of Wheeling Charges	78
	8.3	Cross Subsidy Surcharge	81
9.	Tari	iff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals	84
	9.1	Introduction	84
	9.2	MUL's Tariff Proposal	84
	9.3	Commission's analysis	84
СО	MMIS	SSION'S ORDER	85
ΑN	NEXI	URE: TARIFF SCHEDULE	86



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: List of newspapers (Petitioner)	5
Table 2-1: True-up claimed for FY 2020-21	8
Table 2-2: True up of FY 2020-21 as claimed by MUL	9
Table 2-3: Derivation of consolidated Revenue Gap / (Surplus) claimed for FY 2020-21	9
Table 2-4: ARR Proposed for FY 2022-23	9
Table 2-5: Estimated Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2022-23	. 10
Table 4-1: Energy Sales claimed for FY 2020-21	. 13
Table 4-2: Energy Sales approved for FY 2020-21	. 14
Table 4-3: Distribution Losses claimed for FY 2020-21	. 14
Table 4-4: Distribution Losses approved for FY 2020-21	. 14
Table 4-5: Energy Requirement claimed for FY 2020-21	. 15
Table 4-6: Energy Requirement approved for FY 2020-21	. 15
Table 4-7: Energy Availability as claimed for FY 2020-21	. 16
Table 4-8: Energy Availability approved for FY 2020-21	. 17
Table 4-9: Power Purchase Cost claimed for FY 2020-21	. 17
Table 4-10: Source-wise Power Purchase Cost approved for FY 2020-21	. 19
Table 4-11: Gains / (Losses) on account of Power Purchase Cost for FY 2020-21	. 19
Table 4-12: Capitalisation claimed for FY 2020-21	. 20
Table 4-13: Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of CAPEX for Truing up for FY 2020-2	120
Table 4-14: Capex worked out by Commission for FY 2020-21	. 21
Table 4-15: Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of Capex approved for FY 2020-21	. 22
Table 4-16: Operation and Maintenance Expenses claimed for FY 2020-21	. 22
Table 4-17: Operation and Maintenance Expenses approved for FY 2020-21	. 23
Table 4-18: Gains / (Losses) on account of O&M Expenses for FY 2020-21	. 24
Table 4-19: Depreciation claimed for FY 2020-21	. 24
Table 4-20: Depreciation approved for FY 2020-21	. 25
Table 4-21: Gains / (Losses) on account of Depreciation for FY 2020-21	. 26
Table 4-22: Interest and Finance Charges for FY 2020-21	. 26
Table 4-23: Interest and Finance Charges approved up for FY 2020-21	. 27
Table 4-24: Gains / (Losses) on account of Interest and Finance Charges for FY 2020-21	. 28
Table 4-25: Interest on Working Capital claimed for FY 2020-21	. 28
Table 4-26: Interest on Working Capital approved for FY 2020-21	. 30



Table 4-27: Gains / (Losses) on account of Interest on Working Capital for FY 2020-21	. 30
Table 4-28: Interest on Security Deposit claimed for FY 2020-21	. 31
Table 4-29: Interest on Security Deposit approved for FY 2020-21	. 31
Table 4-30: Gains / (Losses) on account of Interest on Security Deposit for FY 2020-21	. 32
Table 4-31: Return on Equity claimed for FY 2020-21	. 32
Table 4-32: Return on Equity approved for FY 2020-21	. 33
Table 4-33: Gains / (Losses) on account of Return on Equity for FY 2020-21	. 33
Table 4-34: Income Tax claimed for FY 2020-21	. 34
Table 4-35: Income Tax allocation submitted by the Petitioner for FY 2020-21	. 34
Table 4-35: Income Tax approved for FY 2020-21	. 35
Table 4-36: Gains / (Losses) on account of Income Tax for FY 2020-21	. 35
Table 4-37: Gains / (Losses) on account of Contribution to Contingency Reserve for FY 2020-21	. 36
Table 4-38: Non-Tariff Income claimed for FY 2020-21	. 36
Table 4-39: Non-Tariff Income approved for FY 2020-21	. 37
Table 4-40: Gains / (Losses) on account of Non-Tariff Income for FY 2020-21	. 37
Table 4-41: Controllable & Uncontrollable Variations as claimed for FY 2020-21	. 38
Table 4-42: Sharing of Gains & Losses as claimed for FY 2020-21	. 39
Table 4-43: Trued-up ARR as claimed for FY 2020-21	. 39
Table 4-44: ARR approved for FY 2020-21 along with impact of Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors	s 40
Table 4-45: Trued-up ARR approved for FY 2020-21	. 40
Table 4-46: Approved Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21	. 41
Table 4-48: Consolidated Revenue Gap / (Surplus) claimed for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)	. 41
Table 4-49: Approved Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21	. 42
Table 5-1: Energy Sales projected for FY 2022-23	. 44
Table 5-2: No. of Consumers projected for FY 2022-23	. 44
Table 5-3: Energy Sales approved for FY 2022-23	. 45
Table 5-4: Energy Requirement projected for FY 2022-23	. 46
Table 5-5: Energy requirement approved for FY 2022-23	. 47
Table 5-6: Source-wise Energy Procurement projected for FY 2022-23	. 48
Table 5-7: Source-wise Power Purchase projected for FY 2022-23	. 49
Table 5-8: Energy Availability approved for FY 2022-23	. 49
Table 5-9: Source-wise Power Purchase Cost approved for FY 2022-23	. 50
Table 5-10: Renewable Purchase Obligation approved for FY 2022-23	. 51
Table 5-11: Capital Expenditure projected for FY 2022-23	. 52



Table 5-12: Proposed scheme-wise Capitalization for FY 2022-23	. 53
Table 5-13: Capital Expenditure and Capitalization projected for FY 2022-23	. 54
Table 5-14: Funding of projected Capitalization for FY 2022-23	. 54
Table 5-15: Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of Capex approved for FY 202	
Table 5-16: Operation and Maintenance Cost projected for FY 2022-23	
Table 5-17: Operation and Maintenance Expenses approved for FY 2022-23	
Table 5-18: Depreciation projected for FY 2022-23	
Table 5-19: Depreciation approved for FY 2022-23	
Table 5-20: Interest on loan projected for FY 2022-23	
Table 5-21: Interest on Loan approved up for FY 2022-23	
Table 5-22: Interest on Security Deposit projected for FY 2022-23	. 60
Table 5-23: Interest on Security Deposit approved for FY 2022-23	. 61
Table 5-24: Interest on Working Capital projected for FY 2022-23	. 61
Table 5-25: Interest on Working Capital approved for FY 2022-23	. 62
Table 5-26: Return on Equity projected for FY 2022-23	. 62
Table 5-27: Return on Equity approved for FY 2022-23	. 63
Table 5-28: Income Tax projected for FY 2022-23	. 63
Table 5-29: Income Tax approved for FY 2022-23	. 64
Table 5-30: Non-Tariff Income approved for FY 2022-23	. 64
Table 5-31: Summary of Aggregate Revenue Requirement projected for FY 2022-23	. 65
Table 5-32: ARR approved for FY 2022-23	. 65
Table 5-33: Revenue Gap / (Surplus) with Existing Tariff as claimed for FY 2022-23	. 67
Table 5-34: Revenue Gap / (Surplus) with Existing Tariff as claimed for FY 2022-23	. 70
Table 7-1: Energy Requirement and Power Purchase Cost approved by the Commission for FY 2	
Table 8-1: Allocation Matrix for segregation to Wires and Retail Supply Business claimed for FY 2	
Table 8-2: Allocation Matrix for segregation to Wires and Retail Supply Business claimed for FY 2	
Table 8-3: Allocation Matrix for segregation to Wires and Retail Supply Business as per the G (MYT) Regulations, 2016	
Table 8-4: Allocation Matrix for segregation to Wires and Retail Supply Business approved for 2022-23	
Table 8-5: Voltage level wise GFA Ratio	. 79
Table 8-6: Peak Demand contribution	. 79



Table 8-7: Wheeling Charges as claimed for FY 2022-23	80
Table 8-8: Wheeling Losses as claimed for FY 2022-23	80
Table 8-9: Wheeling Charges as approved for FY 2022-23	81
Table 8-10: Wheeling Losses of FY 2022-23 as approved by the Commission	81
Table 8-11: Cross subsidy surcharge claimed for FY 2022-23	82
Table 8-12: Cross Subsidy Surcharge approved for FY 2022-23	83



ABBREVIATIONS

A&G	Administration and General Expenses		
AMR	Automated Meter Reader		
APL	Adani Power Ltd.		
APSEZL	Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone Ltd.		
APTEL	Appellate Tribunal for Electricity		
ARR	Aggregate Revenue Requirement		
CAPEX	Capital Expenditure		
CEA	Central Electricity Authority		
CERC	Central Electricity Regulatory Commission		
CETP	Common Effluent Treatment Plan		
Control period	The period from FY 2016-17 to FY 2022-23		
CWIP	Capital Works in Progress		
DISCOM	Distribution Company		
EA	Electricity Act, 2003		
EHV	Extra High Voltage		
FPPPA	Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment		
FY	Financial Year		
GERC	Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission		
GETCO	Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited		
GFA	Gross Fixed Assets		
GoG	Government of Gujarat		
GUVNL	Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited		
HT	High Tension		
HTMD	High Tension Maximum Demand		
kV	Kilo Volt		
kVA	Kilo Volt Ampere		
kVAh	Kilo Volt Ampere Hour		
kWh	Kilo Watt Hour		
LT	Low Tension Power		
LTPPA	Long Term Power Purchase Agreement		
MCLR	Marginal Cost of Lending Rate		
MPSEZL	Mundra Port and Special Economic Zone Limited		
MTR	Mid-term Review		
MUs	Million Units (Million kWh)		
MW	Mega Watt		
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste		
MUL	MPSEZ Utilities Limited		
MYT	Multi-Year Tariff		
O&M	Operations and Maintenance		



PF	Power Factor	
PGCIL	Power Grid Corporation of India Limited	
PGVCL	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited	
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement	
R&M	Repairs and Maintenance	
REC	Renewable Energy Certificate	
RLDC	Regional Load Despatch Centre	
RPO	Renewable Purchase Obligation	
SBAR	State Bank Advance Rate	
SBBR	State Bank Base Rate	
SBI	State Bank of India	
SEZ	Special Economic Zone	
SLC	Service Line Contribution	
SLDC	State Load Despatch Centre	
STU	State Transmission Utility	
U.P.F	Unity Power Factor	
WRLDC	Western Regional Load Despatch Centre	



Before the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission at Gandhinagar

Case No. 2036 of 20121

Date of the Order: 31/03/2022

CORAM

Anil Mukim, Chairman Mehul M. Gandhi, Member S. R. Pandey, Member

ORDER



1. Background and Brief History

1.1 Background

MPSEZ Utilities Limited (hereinafter referred to as "MUL" or the "Petitioner") has filed the present Petition on 30th November 2021 under Section 62 of the Electricity Act, 2003, read in conjunction with the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 for the Truing up for FY 2020-21 and for Determination of Tariff for its Mundra Port and Special Economic Zone (SEZ) area in District Kutch, Gujarat for FY 2022-23.

The True up year and the ensuing year in the present case is FY 2020-21 and FY 2022-23 respectively, however, the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 which has been notified on 29th March, 2016 were in force till 31st March 2021. While the Commission had initiated the process of framing the MYT Regulations for new Control Period of FY 2021-22 to FY 2025-26 by issuing public notice dated 10th August, 2020, the process was delayed due to circumstances and reasons beyond the control of the Commission. Considering the delay, the Commission vide its Suo-Motu Order No. 07 of 2020 dated 22nd December, 2020 deferred the 5-year control period for new MYT Regulations for one year. Due to ongoing pandemic, the process was further delayed due to circumstances and reasons beyond the control of the Commission. The Commission vide its Order in Suo-Motu Petition No. 1995 of 2021 dated 24th September, 2021 deferred the next MYT Control period by one more year and accordingly, all the concerned utilities and licensees were directed to file True up for FY 2020-21 and annual ARR for FY 2022-23 and application for determination of tariff for FY 2022-23 based on the principles and methodology as provided in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

After technical validation of the Petition, it was registered on 4th December 2021 as Case No. 2036/2021 and as provided under Regulation 29.1 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Commission has proceeded with this Tariff Order.

1.2 MPSEZ Utilities Limited (MUL)

The Petitioner, MPSEZ Utilities Ltd (MUL) (Formerly known as MPSEZ Utilities Private Limited) is a company incorporated in 2008 under the Companies Act, 1956. Another company, Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone Ltd. (APSEZL), formerly



known as Mundra Port and Special Economic Zone Limited (MPSEZL), is developing a multi-product SEZ at Mundra. The area of MPSEZL is about 8,481 hectares.

MUL, created to provide infrastructure facilities in the Special Economic Zone, entered into a co-developer agreement with MPSEZL. The Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Government of India has approved MUL as a co-developer to create infrastructure facilities in MPSEZL.

MUL obtained the status of distribution licensee vide Government of India notification dated 03/03/2010. This was also endorsed by the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission (GERC) vide Order No. GERC/Legal 2010/0609 dated 06/04/2010 allowing for distribution of electricity in Mundra SEZ area, Kutch. As such, MUL is a deemed licensee for distribution of electricity in Mundra SEZ area.

1.3 Commission's Order for Truing up for FY 2015-16, approval of final ARR for FY 2016-17 and approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 and determination of Tariff for FY 2017-18

The Petitioner filed its petition for Truing up for FY 2015-16, approval of Final ARR for FY 2016-17, approval of Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 and determination of Tariff for 2017-18 on 14th December, 2016. The Petition was registered on 17th December, 2016 (under Case No. 1631 of 2016). The Commission vide Order dated 31st March, 2017 approved the Truing up for FY 2015-16, Final ARR for FY 2016-17, Multi-Year ARR for FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21 and determined the Tariff for FY 2017-18.

1.4 Commission's Order for approval of Truing up of FY 2016-17 and determination of Tariff for FY 2018-19

The Petitioner filed its Petition for Truing up for FY 2016-17 and determination of Tariff for FY 2018-19 on 15th December 2017. The Petition was registered on 19th December 2017 (under Case No. 1694 of 2017). The Commission vide Order dated 5th April 2018 approved the Truing up for FY 2016-17 and determined the Tariff for FY 2018-19.



1.5 Commission's Order for Approval of True up of FY 2017-18, Mid-Term Review of FY 2019-20 & FY 2022-23 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2019-20

The Petitioner filed its Petition for Truing up for FY 2017-18, Mid-Term Review of FY 2019-20 and FY 2022-23 and determination of Tariff for FY 2019-20 on 15th December 2018. After technical validation the Petition was registered on 27th December 2018 (Case No. 1772 of 2018). The Commission vide Order dated 30th July 2019 approved the Truing-up for FY 2017-18, Mid-Term review of FY 2019-20 & FY 2022-23 and determined the Tariff for FY 2019-20.

1.6 Commission's Order for approval of Truing up of FY 2018-19 and determination of Tariff of FY 2020-21

The Petitioner filed its Petition for Truing up for FY 2018-19 and determination of Tariff for FY 2020-21 on 12th December 2019. The Petition was registered on 18th December 2019 (under Case No. 1850 of 2019). The Commission vide Order dated 3rd April 2020 approved the Truing up for FY 2018-19 and determined the Tariff for FY 2020-21.

1.7 Commission's Order for approval of Truing up of FY 2019-20 and determination of Tariff of FY 2021-22

The Petitioner filed its Petition for Truing up for FY 2019-20 and determination of Tariff for FY 2021-22 on 30th December 2020. The Petition was registered on 11th January 2021 (under Case No. 1924/2021). The Commission vide Order dated 1st April 2021 approved the Truing up for FY 2019-20 and determined the Tariff for FY 2021-22.

1.8 Background of the present Petition

The Commission has notified the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 for the control period of FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21. Regulation 16.2 (iii) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 provides for the Truing up for previous year's Expenses and Revenue based on audited accounts vis-à-vis the approved forecast and categorization of variation in performance as those caused by factors within the control of the applicant (controllable factors) and those caused by factors beyond the control of the applicant (uncontrollable factors).



Regulation 16.2 (vi) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 provides for annual determination of tariff for the Generating Company, Transmission Licensee, SLDC, Distribution Wire Business and Retail Supply Business, for each financial year, within the Control Period, based on the approved forecast and results of the Truing up exercise.

The Commission has issued Suo-Motu order No. 1995 of 2021 dated 24th September 2021 directing the Licensees to file their Application / Petition for for approval of true-up for FY 2020- 21 and for determination of Annual ARR and Tariff for FY 2022-23 based on the principles and methodology as provided in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 on or before 30th November 2021. MUL has filed the present Petition on 30th November 2021. MUL has filed Petition for Truing up of FY 2020-21 and ARR & Tariff Determination for FY 2022-23 in line with the provisions of Act and Regulations issued by the Commission along with the other guidelines and directions issued by the Commission from time to time

1.9 Registration of the Current Petition and Public Hearing Process

The Petitioner submitted the current Petition for Truing up for FY 2020-21 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23 on 30th November 2021. After technical validation of the petition, it was registered on 4th December 2021 (Case No. 2036/2021) and as provided under Regulation 29.1 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the Commission has proceeded with this Tariff Order.

In accordance with Section 64 of the Electricity Act, 2003, MUL was directed to publish its application in newspapers to ensure public participation.

The Public Notice, inviting objections /suggestions from the stakeholders on the petition, was published in the following newspapers:

Table 1-1: List of newspapers (Petitioner)

SI. No.	Particulars	Language	Date of Publication
1	The Indian Express (Ahmedabad Edition)	English	11.12.2021
2	Gujarat Samachar (Bhuj Edition)	Gujarati	11.12.2021

The Petitioner also placed the public notice and the petition on its website (www.adaniports.com), for inviting objections and suggestions. The interested parties



/ stakeholders were asked to file their objections / suggestions on the petition on or before 9th January 2022.

The Commission also placed the petition and additional details received from the Petitioner on its website (www.gercin.org) for information and study for all the stakeholders.

The Commission as well as the Petitioner have not received any objections / suggestions from the Stake holders against the Tariff Petition in Case No. 2036/2021 before the due date. Accordingly, the Commission has not conducted any public hearing for MUL.

1.10 Approach of this Order

The GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, provide for "Truing up" for the previous year and Determination of Tariff for the ensuing year.

MUL has approached the Commission with the present Petition for "Truing up" for FY 2020-21 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23.

In this Order, the Commission has considered the "Truing up" for FY 2020-21, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The Commission has undertaken "Truing up" for FY 2020-21, based on the submissions of the Petitioner. The Commission has undertaken the computation of Gains and Losses for FY 2020-21, based on the audited annual accounts.

While Truing up for FY 2020-21, the Commission has been primarily guided by the following principles:

- Controllable parameters have been considered at the level approved as per the MYT Order, unless the Commission considers that there are valid reasons for revision of the same.
- Uncontrollable parameters have been revised, based on the actual performance observed.
- The Truing up for FY 2020-21 has been considered, based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23 have been considered as per the GERC (Multi-Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016.



1.11 Contents of the Order

This Order is divided into **Eight** chapters as under:

- 1. The **First Chapter** provides the background of the Petitioner, the Petition and details of the Public Hearing Process and the Approach adopted for this Order.
- 2. The **Second Chapter** outlines the Summary of MUL's Petition.
- 3. The **Third Chapter** deals with 'Truing up' for FY 2020-21.
- 4. The **Fourth Chapter** deals with the Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23.
- 5. The **Fifth Chapter** deals with the Compliance of Directives.
- 6. The Sixth Chapter deals with FPPPA Charges
- 7. The **Seventh Chapter** deals with Determination of the Wheeling Charges and Cross-Subsidy Surcharge.
- 8. The **Eighth Chapter** deals with the Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposal.



2. Summary of MUL's Petition

2.1 Introduction

This Chapter deals with highlights of the Petition as submitted by MUL for Truing up for FY 2020-21 and Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23.

2.2 True-up of FY 2020-21

A summary of the claimed ARR for Truing-up for FY 2020-21 compared with the approved final ARR for FY 2020-21 in MTR order dated 30.07.2019 is presented in the Table below along with the item-wise Gain/ Loss computations as submitted by MUL:

Table 2-1: True-up claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

		True-Up of FY 2020-21				
Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved in the MTR Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual Claimed	Over (+) /under (-) Recovery	Gains / (Losses) due to Controlla ble factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontr ollable factor
1	Power Purchase Expenses	162.58	171.30	-8.72	-	-8.72
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	11.33	10.39	0.95	-	0.95
3	Depreciation	1.99	2.83	-0.84	-	-0.84
4	Interest & Finance Charges	2.14	2.21	-0.07	-	-0.07
5	Interest on Security Deposit	0.11	0.12	-0.01	-	-0.01
6	Interest on Working Capital	1.65	1.49	0.16	-	0.16
7	Bad Debts Written Off	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
8	Contribution to Contingency Reserves	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
9	Return on Equity	3.07	3.05	0.02	-	0.02
10	Income Tax	2.77	4.25	-1.48	-	-1.48
11	Less: Non-Tariff Income	2.92	3.44	-0.52	-	-0.52
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	182.73	192.20	-9.47	-	-9.47

2.3 Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21

The Table below summarizes the proposed ARR claimed by MUL for Truing up.



Table 2-2: True up of FY 2020-21 as claimed by MUL

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particular	Claimed
1	Approved as per the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019(A)	182.73
2	Less: Gain on account of Controllable Factor to be passed on to the consumers (1/3) (B)	0.00
3	Less: Gain on account of Un-controllable Factor to be passed on to the consumers (C)	-9.47
4	Trued-up ARR for FY 2020-21, D= (A-B-C)	192.20

MUL has considered Revenue Surplus of Rs. 7.73 Crore for FY 2020-21. The Commission in its Tariff Order for FY 2020-21 dated 03.04.2020 has approved consolidated revenue gap of Rs. 9.69 Crore for FY 2018-19 and the Commission has approved carrying cost of Rs. 1.15 Crore on revenue gap for FY 2016-17 and Rs. 1.63 Crore on revenue gap for FY 2018-19 as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The Table below summarizes the trued-up ARR, Revenue from Sale of Power, resultant Gap / (Surplus), carrying cost and consolidated Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21.

Table 2-3: Derivation of consolidated Revenue Gap / (Surplus) claimed for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Actual Claimed
Net Revenue Gap / (Surplus) of FY 2020-21	-7.73
Add: Approved Consolidated Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2018-19	9.69
Add: Carrying Cost for FY 2016-17	1.15
Add: carrying cost on revenue gap of FY 2018-19 for FY 2019-20 & FY 2020-21	1.63
Consolidated revenue gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21	4.74

2.4 ARR, Revenue at Existing Tariff, Revenue Gap and Tariff Proposal for FY 2022-23

MUL has also sought approval for final Aggregate Revenue Requirement for FY 2022-23 as per the MTR Order dated 3 April 2020. MUL has submitted the Revenue Requirement as under:

Table 2-4: ARR Proposed for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	FY 2022-23
Power Purchase Cost	265.20



Particulars	FY 2022-23
O&M Expenses	11.41
Depreciation	4.96
Interest and Finance Charges	3.56
Interest on Security Deposits	0.09
Interest on Working Capital	2.40
Return on Equity	4.18
Contribution to Contingency Reserves	0
Income Tax	4.25
Less: Non-Tariff income	0.00
ARR	296.05

2.5 Revenue Gap/ (Surplus) for FY 2022-23

Based on the ARR for FY 2022-23 given in the Table above, the estimated Revenue Gap for FY 2022-23 at existing tariff is shown in the following Table.

Table 2-5: Estimated Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Actual Claimed
1	Projected ARR for FY 2022-23	296.05
2	Add: Consolidated Revenue gap for FY 2020-21	1.96
3	Add: Consolidated Carrying Cost up to FY 2020-21	2.78
4	Add: Carrying cost on consolidated gap of FY 2020-21 for FY 2021-22 & FY 2022-23	0.28
5	Estimated Revenue from existing tariff for FY 2022-23	296.98
6	Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2022-23	4.10

2.6 Request of MUL

- a) Admit Petition for truing up of ARR for FY 2020-21 and ARR & tariff determination for FY 2022-23.
- b) Approve sharing of gains / losses as proposed by the Petitioner for FY 2020- 21.
- c) Approve consolidated revenue gap of FY 2020-21 along with carrying cost.
- d) Approve the estimates and gap of FY 2022-23.
- e) Approve wheeling ARR and corresponding charges for wheeling of power with effect from 01.04.2022.



- f) Approve cross subsidy surcharge filed by the Petitioner.
- g) Approve Tariff schedule as proposed by the Petitioner.
- h) Allow additions / alterations / changes and modifications to the application at a future date.
- i) Allow any other relief, order or direction, which Hon'ble Commission deems fit to be issued.
- j) Condone any inadvertent omissions / errors / shortcomings and permit the Petitioner to add / change / modify / alter this filing and make further submissions as may be required at a future date.



3. Objections and Suggestions

3.1 Stakeholders' suggestions / objections, Petitioner's Response and Commission's observations

In response to the public notice inviting objections / suggestions on the petition filed by MUL for Truing up of FY 2020-21 and determination of ARR and Tariff for FY 2022-23 under the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 & Suo-Motu order No. 1995 of 2021 dated 24th September 2021 issued by the Commission from the stakeholders, no objections / suggestions received in writing.



4. Truing up for FY 2020-21

4.1 Introduction

This Chapter deals with the Truing up for FY 2020-21 of MUL.

The Commission has analysed each of the components of the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for FY 2020-21 in the following paragraphs.

4.2 Energy Sales

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted the actual energy sales for FY 2020-21 as shown in the Table below.

Table 4-1: Energy Sales claimed for FY 2020-21

(MUs)

Particulars	Approved in the MTR Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	
Energy Sales	376.52	363.33	

MUL has submitted that the deviation in energy sales is mainly because of variation in demand of the consumers due to overall economic slowdown. In view of pandemic situation, the growth in the demand and sales was lower than what was projected.

Commission's analysis

As energy sales are uncontrollable, the Commission accepts the deviation submitted by MUL.

The sales as submitted by the Petitioner has been verified, compared and confirmed with the sale of energy furnished in the monthly return under Form A specified in Rule 6(1) (A) filed by MUL with the Chief Electrical Inspector and Collector of Electricity Duty. The sales have also been verified and confirmed from the financial statement submitted by the Petitioner along with the Petition.

The Commission has reviewed the above submissions and found them to be satisfactory. Accordingly, the energy sales for FY 2020-21 are approved as follows:



Table 4-2: Energy Sales approved for FY 2020-21

(MUs)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	Approved in Truing up
Energy Sales	376.52	363.33	363.33

The Commission approves energy sales of 363.33 MUs for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

4.3 Distribution Losses

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted the actual Distribution Losses for FY 2020-21 as shown in the Table below.

Table 4-3: Distribution Losses claimed for FY 2020-21

(%)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	
Distribution Losses	4.00%	3.25%	

MUL has submitted that it considers Distribution Losses as uncontrollable since it is attributed to technical losses of electrical network which is yet to be optimally loaded.

Commission's analysis

The distribution network in the licence area of MUL is yet to be fully established and the consumer load is also yet to be stabilized, hence, the actual Distribution Losses of MUL are required to be considered as uncontrollable.

Table 4-4: Distribution Losses approved for FY 2020-21

(%)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	Approved in Truing up	
Distribution Losses	4.00%	3.25%	3.25%	

The Commission approves Distribution Losses of 3.25% for Truing up for FY 2020-21.



4.4 Energy Requirement

Petitioner's submission

The actual Energy Requirement for MUL is based on the actual Energy Sales, Transmission Losses and Distribution Losses, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-5: Energy Requirement claimed for FY 2020-21

(MUs)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.07.2019	Actual claimed
Energy Sales	376.52	363.33
Distribution Losses (%)	4.00%	3.25%
Distribution Losses (MU)	15.69	12.21
Energy Requirement	392.21	375.54
Transmission Losses (%)	1.20%	0.46%
Transmission Losses (MUs)	4.75	1.72
Total Energy Requirement	396.96	377.26

Commission's analysis

The actual Energy Requirement claimed by the Petitioner for FY 2020-21 along with Energy Requirement as per the MTR Order dated 30th July, 2019 has been examined and verified by the Commission. The Commission observed that there is a reduction of 19.70 MUs in the Energy Requirement for MUL against the quantum of 396.96 MUs approved in the MTR Order.

The actual Energy Requirement is lower than that approved in the Tariff Order dated 30th July, 2019, due to lower actual Sales, Distribution Losses and Transmission Losses. The actual Energy Requirement being the sum of Energy Sales and Transmission Losses & Distribution Losses, works out to 377.26 MUs for FY 2020-21.

The Commission accordingly approves the Energy Requirement at 377.26 MUs for Truing up for FY 2020-21 as given in the Table below:

Table 4-6: Energy Requirement approved for FY 2020-21

(MUs)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30 th July 2019	Actual claimed	Approved for FY 2020-21
Energy Sales	376.52	363.33	363.33
Distribution Losses (%)	4.00%	3.25%	3.25%
Distribution Losses (MU)	15.69	12.21	12.21
Energy Requirement	392.21	375.54	375.54



Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30 th July 2019	Actual claimed	Approved for FY 2020-21
Transmission Losses (%)	1.20%	0.46%	0.46%
Transmission Losses (MUs)	4.75	1.72	1.72
Total Energy Requirement	396.96	377.26	377.26

4.5 Energy Availability

Petitioner's submission

The Petitioner has submitted the source-wise energy purchased for FY 2020-21, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-7: Energy Availability as claimed for FY 2020-21

(MUs)

Particular	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30th July, 2019	Actual Claimed
LT PPA	305.68	290.42
Purchase from IEX	29.16	45.79
RPO – Solar	26.79	0.00
RPO – Wind	35.33	41.05
RPO – Others	0.00	0.00
Total Energy Available	396.96	377.26

Commission's analysis

For satisfaction of its base load power requirement, MUL has entered into a Power Purchase Arrangement (PPA) with Adani Power Ltd. with contracted capacity of 50 MW for FY 2020-21, which has been approved by the Commission. Some of the balance Energy Requirement shown under the Bilateral and Others has been met through Unscheduled Interchange (UI), which has been verified through SLDC reports. Further, Bilateral and Others has also included the energy of 31.05 MU procured from IEX during this period.

The Petitioner also submitted that the variation in power purchase cost is on account of variation in sales and variation in actual cost with respect to base rate during the year, which is uncontrollable and hence needs to be allowed.

The actual Energy Requirement claimed by the Petitioner for FY 2020-21 has been examined and verified by the Commission from power purchase bills. Considering the foregoing submission related to Energy Sales, Transmission and Distribution Losses,



the Commission approves the Energy Requirement as submitted by MUL. Further, most of the energy quantum has been procured through Adani Power Ltd, IEX and wind energy from AREKAL in FY 2020-21.

The Commission, accordingly, approves the sources of power purchase and energy units purchased as shown in the table below:

Table 4-8: Energy Availability approved for FY 2020-21

(MUs)

Particular	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30th July, 2019	Actual Claimed	Approved in Truing Up
LT PPA	305.68	290.42	290.42
Bilateral & Others	29.16	45.79	45.79
RPO – Solar	26.79	0.00	0.00
RPO – Wind	35.33	41.05	41.05
RPO – Others	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Energy Available	396.96	377.26	377.26

4.6 Power Purchase Cost

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted the following power purchase cost.

Table 4-9: Power Purchase Cost claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30 July 2019	Actual claimed	Deviation
LT PPA	128.29	145.90	-17.61
Bilateral & Others	12.61	10.96	1.65
RPO – Solar	6.81	0.00	6.81
RPO – Wind	12.22	14.20	-1.98
RPO – Others	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other (Reactive, SLDC & Transmission Charges)	2.65	0.24	2.41
Net Power Purchase Cost	162.58	171.30	-8.72

MUL has submitted the following justifications for the power purchase cost incurred:

 The variation in power purchase cost is on account of variation in sales and variation in actual cost with respect to base rate during the year which is uncontrollable.



The Petitioner has also made provision against the shortfall of RPO of Rs. 0.32
 Cr. for FY 2017-18 & FY 2019-20 and Rs. 0.32 Cr. for FY 2020-21 considering statutory liability. However, Petitioner has not considered this cost for truing up of FY 2020-21 which shall be considered in the year during actual spent.

MUL submitted that the Commission has classified power purchase cost as uncontrollable as per Regulation 22.1 (c) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Thus, the Power purchase cost is as an uncontrollable item.

Commission's analysis

The Commission has analysed the power purchase cost in detail in terms of various sources of power, energy units procured and source-wise cost.

The Commission reviewed the Audited Annual Accounts for FY 2020-21 where the power purchase cost has been mentioned as Rs. 166.56 Crore after excluding rebate of Rs. (3.41) Crore on early payments of power from power purchase cost and reversing of provisioning pertaining to previous years. In line with the MUL's submission and approach adopted in earlier Tariff Orders, the Commission has also not considered the provision of Rs. 1.57 Crore and Rs. 0.40 Crore for shortfall of RPO during FY 2017-18 and FY 2019-20 respectively, in the cost of FY 2020-21. The Commission has directed MUL to submit the power purchase bills as part of data gaps raised to MUL. In response to the Commission's query, the monthly source wise invoices under long term PPA were submitted by MUL and which were verified by the Commission.

For meeting any remaining base load requirement, the Petitioner has purchase from IEX and bilateral sources (UI) which is verified from the Audited Annual Accounts and other details submitted by the Petitioner. Other charges which include reactive and SLDC charges are also verified by the Commission from the Audited Annual Accounts.

As per Regulation 97.2 (n) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, prompt payment rebate has been considered as Non-Tariff Income and therefore, the same has been excluded from total power purchase cost.

The Petitioner has procured short-term power from IEX at the average rate of Rs. 2.68 per kWh as computed considering various submissions of the Petitioner.

The power purchase cost as approved by the Commission is presented below.



Table 4-10: Source-wise Power Purchase Cost approved for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	Approved in Truing up
LT PPA	128.29	145.90	145.90
Bilateral & Others	12.61	10.96	10.96
RPO-Solar	6.81	0.00	0.00
RPO-Wind	12.22	14.20	14.20
RPO-Others	0.00	0.00	0.00
Other (Reactive, UI, SLDC & Transmission Charges)	2.65	0.24	0.24
Total	162.58	171.30	171.30

Considering the approved power purchase cost of Rs. 162.58 Crore for the approved energy procurement of 396.96 Mus as per Tariff Order, the per unit power purchase cost works out to Rs. 4.10/kWh while the claimed per unit power purchase cost works out to Rs.4.54/kWh.

As per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 variation in the price of fuel and/ or price of power purchase are uncontrollable factors. Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-11: Gains / (Losses) on account of Power Purchase Cost for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approve d in MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Deviation + / (-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontroll able factor
Power Purchase Cost	162.58	171.30	-8.72	0.00	-8.72

4.7 Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of Capex

Petitioner's submission

MUL has incurred gross capital expenditure of Rs 1.47 Crore against the approved capital expenditure of Rs. 12.31 Crore for FY 2020-21 as per the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019. MUL has further stated that it has capitalized Rs. 1.83 Crore against approved capitalization of Rs. 4.25 Crore. The actual SLC received from the customers is Rs. 0.91 Crore. The following details have been submitted in respect of the capitalisation incurred during FY 2020-21.



Table 4-12: Capitalisation claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

		FY 2020-21		
Sr.	Particulars	Capitalization		
No.	Faiticulais	Approved in the Tariff Order	Actual	
NO.		dated 30.7.2019	claimed	
Α	EHV (220 kV & 66 kV)			
	EHV Transmission line	-	-	
	EHV Transmission Cable	-	-	
	EHV Substation	3.23	-	
	Land Cost	-	-	
	Civil Cost	-	-	
	Total	3.23	-	
В	HT (33 kV & 11 kV) & NETWORK			
	33 kV HT Cable Network	-	-	
	11 kV HT Cable Network	0.23	1.18	
	33 / 11 kV HT Substation	0.15	-	
	Land Cost	-	-	
	Civil Cost	-	-	
	Total	0.38	1.18	
С	Others			
	Automation & SCADA	0.29	0.48	
	Testing and Measuring Equipment	-	0.13	
	IT	0.19	-	
	Meters & AMR	-	0.04	
	Miscellaneous	-	-	
	Buildings & other civil work	0.17	-	
	Total	0.64	0.65	
D	Grand Total	4.25	1.83	

MUL has submitted the details of capitalization and funding for truing-up of FY 2020-21 as shown in Table below:

Table 4-13: Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of CAPEX for Truing up for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	FY 202	20-21
	Approved	Claimed
Opening GFA	108.71	112.70
Addition to GFA	4.25	1.83
Closing GFA	112.95	114.53
SLC Contribution	0.40	0.91
Capitalization for Debt:Equity	3.85	0.92



Particulars	FY 2020-21	
Normative Debt - 70%	2.69	0.64
Normative Equity -30%	1.15	0.28

Commission's analysis

The Commission observed that the Petitioner has claimed capital expenditure of Rs. 1.47 Crore, as against Rs. 12.31 Crore approved by the Commission in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019. The Commission has verified from the annual accounts that the Petitioner has incurred capital expenditure of Rs. 1.47 Crore during FY 2020-21.

In terms of value submitted, the Commission has scrutinized the audited annual accounts for FY 2020-21 and observed that the actual capital expenditure works out to Rs. 1.47 Crore based on the values for capital works in progress and gross fixed assets added during the year as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-14: Capex worked out by Commission for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

No.	Particulars	Value as per audited accounts
Α	Opening CWIP	2.52
В	Closing CWIP	2.16
С	Gross Fixed Assets added	1.83
D	Capex [C+(B-A)]	1.47

The Commission used SLC towards the funding of capex which is in line with the approach followed for capital expenditure and capitalization in previous orders. Further, as the capitalisation in FY 2020-21 is Rs. 1.83 Crore, with the accounting of unutilised SLC balances of Rs.15.25 Crore of FY 2019-20 true-up dated 1st April 2021 and SLC received in FY 2020-21 of Rs. 0.91 Crore, the Commission has arrived at nil net Capitalisation for funding. Remaining SLC of Rs. 14.33 Crore (Rs. 15.25 Crore + Rs. 0.91 Crore - Rs. 1.83 Crore) will be utilized to meet the funding requirements for future period.

Considering the foregoing analysis, the Commission has approved the following capex, capitalization and funding of capex.



Table 4-15: Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of Capex approved for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	Approved in Truing up
Capex	12.31	1.47	1.47
Capitalization	4.25	1.83	1.83
Less: SLC	3.85	0.91	0.91
Less: Carry forward of SLC from FY 2019-20 True-up			15.25
Balance Capitalization	0.4	0.92	0.00
Normative Debt (70%)	0.28	0.64	0.00
Normative Equity (30%)	0.72	0.28	0.00

Thus, the Commission approves a Capex of Rs. 1.47 Crore and net Capitalization as NIL after considering utilisation of part of SLC (Rs. 1.83 Crore out of cumulative SLC of Rs. 16.16 Crore (Rs 15.25 Crore + Rs. 91 Crore)), for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

4.8 Operations and Maintenance Expenses

Petitioner's submission

The Operations and Maintenance Expenses comprise of the Employee cost, Administration & General Expenses and Repairs and Maintenance expenditure. The actual Operations and Maintenance Expenses furnished by MUL are given in the Table below:

Table 4-16: Operation and Maintenance Expenses claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	Deviation +/(-)
Operation and Maintenance Expenses	11.33	10.39	0.95

The Petitioner has not considered the expenses of Rs. 0.21 Crore under the head of Charity & Donations in the A&G expenses for truing up of FY 2020-21.

The Petitioner submitted that as per Regulation 22.2 (h) of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, variation in Operation & Maintenance expenses are controllable. However, the Petitioner has considered O&M expenses as uncontrollable and requested the



Commission to approve it as uncontrollable as its O&M Expenses depend upon addition of new sub-stations and distribution system with development of SEZ area and addition of new SEZ units. Moreover, there are various challenges related to R&M of electrical network / system in coastal area like saline weather condition for system exposed to air and high-water table for network below ground level. These are uncontrollable factors which lead to deviations in O&M Expenses.

Commission's analysis

The Commission has verified the O&M Expenses claimed by the Petitioner from the audited accounts. MUL has incurred Employee Expenses of Rs. 2.79 Crore, A&G expenses of Rs.5.97 Crore. The Commission observes that MUL has excluded an amount of Rs. 0.21 Crore towards Charity & donations from A&G Expenses. Regarding Employee expenses, the Commission observes that bonus/ ex-gratia payments of Rs 0.08 Crore has also been claimed by the Petitioner, which is not considered by the Commission. The Commission has also verified the R&M Expenses of Rs.1.62 Crore from the annual accounts and found the same at par with what is claimed by the Petitioner. Accordingly, the Commission approves the following O&M Expenses.

Table 4-17: Operation and Maintenance Expenses approved for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the MTR Order	Actual claimed	Approved in Truing up
Employee Expenses	3.57	2.79	2.71
Repairs & Maintenance Expenses	5.84	1.62	1.62
Administration & General Expenses	1.93	5.97	5.97
Total O&M Expenses	11.33	10.39	10.31

The Commission approves O&M Expenses of Rs. 10.31 Crore for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

Further, as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the variation in O&M Expenses is to be considered as controllable except the change in law and wage revision. However, as per the judgement dated 09th May, 2019 of the Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No. 256 of 2016 in the matter related to TPL-D (Dahej), the Commission decides to accept MUL's submission that O&M Expenses should be considered as uncontrollable along the lines of Distribution Losses, as the SEZ is yet to stabilize.



Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-18: Gains / (Losses) on account of O&M Expenses for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Approved in Truing up	Deviatio n + / (-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllable factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontroll able factor
O&M Expenses	11.33	10.31	1.03	-	1.03

4.9 Depreciation

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted the following details related to fixed assets and depreciation for the purpose of Truing up for FY 2020-21.

Table 4-19: Depreciation claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	Deviation +/(-)
Gross block at the beginning of the Year	108.71	112.70	
Addition during the Year	4.25	1.83	
Gross block at the end of the Year	112.95	114.53	
Depreciation	1.99	2.83	0.84

MUL has submitted that the computation of depreciation on the fixed assets is based on straight line method as prescribed in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The Depreciation rates considered are as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

MUL has deducted amortization of service line contribution and accordingly, claimed depreciation of Rs. 2.83 Crore. MUL has requested the Commission to treat the variation in depreciation amount compared to the approved amount as uncontrollable.

Commission's analysis

The Commission has considered the opening balance of GFA for FY 2020-21 equal to the closing balance of GFA for FY 2019-20 approved by the Commission in the Order in Petition No. 1924 of 2021 dated 1st April 2021.



The Commission has verified the depreciation from the Audited Annual Accounts for FY 2020-21. The Commission has also noted that due to change in accounting method, depreciation on lease hold land of Rs. 0.33 Crore were booked as Balance Sheet item which was earlier part of depreciation expenses and hence the same has been approved as part of depreciation expenses. Depreciation expenses as per Audited Annual Account is Rs. 5.71 Crore. It is observed that depreciation of Rs. 2.87 Crore is booked for the assets created through SLC in the Audited Annual Accounts and hence has not been considered by the Commission. Accordingly, depreciation as per Audited Annual Accounts is Rs 2.83 Crore after excluding the depreciation against assets created through SLC. Further, the Commission in Section 4.7 has considered Rs 0.92 Crore of un-utilised SLC of FY 2019-20 true-up for funding of capitalisation for FY 2020-21. Hence, additional depreciation of Rs 0.02 Crore has been worked out against additional SLC utilised from previous true-up, considering the average rate of amortisation of 5.31%.

The Commission approves Depreciation of Rs. 2.81 Crore for the purpose of Truing up for FY 2020-21 as shown below:

Table 4-20: Depreciation approved for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the MTR Order	Actual claimed	Approved in Truing up
Gross block of the Assets at the beginning of the Year	108.71	112.70	112.7
Addition during the Year	4.25	1.83	1.83
Gross block of the Assets at the end of the Year	112.95	114.53	114.53
Opening SLC		53.61	53.61
Addition during the Year	0.40	0.91	0.91
Closing SLC		54.52	54.52
Depreciation on Assets		5.71	5.71
Amortisation of SLC		2.87	2.87
Additional amortisation of SLC			0.02
Net Depreciation	1.99	2.83	2.81

The deviation of (-) Rs. 0.82 Crore is considered as uncontrollable Loss, as the depreciation is dependent on capitalisation.

Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:



Table 4-21: Gains / (Losses) on account of Depreciation for FY 2020-21

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Approved in Truing up	Deviation +/(-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontrolla ble factor
Depreciation	1.99	2.81	-0.82	0	-0.82

4.10 Interest and Finance Charges

Petitioner's submission

MUL submitted that it has calculated the Interest Expenses on the basis of actual weighted average interest rate charged by the bank for previous loan portfolio as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 as there was no actual loan portfolio available during FY 2020-21. It is further submitted that it has paid the interest amount to the bank at weighted average interest rate of 11.25% during FY 2019-20 which is approved by Commission in its order dated 1 April 2021.

MUL has submitted the following details in respect of Interest and Finance Charges.

Table 4-22: Interest and Finance Charges for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the MTR Order	Actual claimed
Interest on Normative Loan		
Opening Loans	20.54	20.72
Addition of Loan due to Capitalisation during the Year	2.69	0.64
Less: Repayment	1.99	2.83
Closing Loan	21.24	18.53
Average Loan	20.89	19.63
Rate of Interest (%)	10.25%	11.25%
Interest on Normative Loan	2.14	2.21
Bank & Finance Charges	0.00	0.00
Total Interest and Finance Charges	2.14	2.21

Commission's analysis

The Commission has verified the normative loan opening value for FY 2020-21 with the normative closing loan value approved in Truing up for FY 2019-20. The loan addition has been considered in line with the normative loan addition approved in the



aforementioned section of Capex and Capitalisation. The repayment has been equated to net value of depreciation during the Year.

As per first proviso of Regulation 38.5 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, if there is no actual loan for a particular year but normative loan is still outstanding, the last available weighted average rate of interest for the actual loan shall be considered.

As there was no actual loan portfolio available during FY 2020-21. The Petitioner has considered the weighted average interest rate of 11.25% for FY 2019-20 which is approved by the Commission in its order dated 1.4.2021.

The Commission observed that claimed weighted average interest rate for FY 2020-21 is on significantly higher side with other Distribution licensees and even higher than MUL's claimed interest rate of 9.57% on working capital. Further, considering the challenges faced by the consumers due to COVID-19 situation, the Commission finds it appropriate to invoke Regulation 7 of GERC Tariff Regulation 2016, according to which nothing in the Regulations shall be deemed to limit or otherwise affect the inherent power of the Commission to make such orders as may be necessary for ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of the process of the Commission. Accordingly, the Commission has considered the Bank Rate plus 200 basis points as the rate of interest for the purpose of allowing the interest on the normative loan as per the last proviso of Regulation 38.5 of the GERC Tariff Regulations, 2016. The average RBI bank rate for FY 2020-21 was 4.28%, the Commission added the 200 basis points in 4.28% i.e., 6.28% (4.28% + 2%), has been used to compute the interest on normative loan.

The bank and finance charges have been cross checked with the audited accounts. The Commission observes that the Petitioner has incurred Rs. 48,763 under this head.

Based on the foregoing analysis, the Commission's approves the Interest & Finance Charges of Rs. 1.22 Crore as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-23: Interest and Finance Charges approved up for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	Approved in Truing up
Interest on Normative Loan			
Opening Loan	20.54	20.72	20.72



Addition of Loan due to Capitalisation during the Year	2.69	0.64	0.00
Less: Repayment	1.99	2.83	2.81
Closing Loan	21.24	18.53	17.91
Average Loan	20.89	19.62	19.31
Rate of Interest (%)	10.25%	11.25%	6.28%
Interest on Normative Loan	2.14	2.21	1.21
Bank & Finance Charges	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total Interest and Finance Charges	2.14	2.21	1.22

The Commission approves Interest and Finance Charges at Rs. 1.22 Crore for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

As noted in the preceding section, the Commission is of the view that the parameters which affect interest and finance charges should be treated as uncontrollable. Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-24: Gains / (Losses) on account of Interest and Finance Charges for FY 2020-21

Particulars (Rs. Crore)	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Approved in Truing up	Deviation + / (-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontroll able factor
Interest and Finance Charges	2.14	1.22	0.92	0	0.92

4.11 Interest on Working Capital

Petitioner's submission

The Interest on Working Capital is arrived at as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, as provided in the Table below:

Table 4-25: Interest on Working Capital claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed
Working Capital Requirement		
O&M Expenses	0.94	0.87
Spares at 1% of GFA	1.09	1.13
Receivables	15.23	16.66
Sub-total	17.26	18.65
Less: Security Deposit	1.76	3.12
Normative Working Capital	15.50	15.53



Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed
Interest Rate (%)	10.65%	9.57%
Interest on Working Capital	1.65	1.49

The working capital computed as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 works out to be Rs. 18.65 Crore which is more than the average security deposit amount of Rs. 3.12 Crore. MUL has considered interest on working capital at weighted average 1 year SBI Marginal Cost of Funds Based Lending Rate (MCLR) for FY 2020-21 plus 250 basis points as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 and accordingly, interest on working capital has been considered @ 9.57% (7.07%+2.50%) for FY 2020-21.

Commission's analysis

The Commission has reviewed the working capital requirement in terms of the component wise values approved in preceding sections.

With regard to rate of interest on working capital, the Commission vide notification no. 7 of 2016 dated 2nd December, 2016 has amended its Regulation 40.4 (b) of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 as given under:

"Interest shall be allowed at a rate equal to the State Bank Base Rate (SBBR) / 1-year State Bank of India (SBI) Marginal Cost of Funds Based Lending Rate (MCLR) / any replacement thereof by SBI for the time being in effect applicable for 1 year period, as may be applicable as on 1st April of the financial year in which the petition is filed plus 250 basis points:

Provided that at the time of Truing up for any year, interest on working capital shall be allowed at a rate equal to the weighted average State Bank Base Rate (SBBR) / 1-year State Bank of India (SBI) Marginal Cost of Funds Based Lending Rate (MCLR) / any replacement thereof by SBI for the time being in effect applicable for 1 year period, as may be applicable prevailing during the financial year plus 250 basis points."

In line with the above proviso to Regulation 40.4 (b), the Commission has considered the weighted average of 1-year State Bank of India (SBI) Marginal Cost of Funds Based Lending Rate (MCLR) of 7.07% prevailing during the financial year 2020-21 plus 250 basis points. Accordingly, the rate of interest on working capital worked out to be 9.57%.



Table 4-26: Interest on Working Capital approved for FY 2020-21

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual claimed	Approved in Truing up
Working Capital Requirement			
O&M Expenses (1 month)	0.94	0.87	0.86
Spares (1% of GFA)	1.09	1.13	1.13
Receivables (1 month of revenue at existing tariffs)	15.23	16.66	16.72
Sub-total	17.26	18.65	18.70
Less: Security Deposit	1.76	3.12	3.12
Normative Working Capital	15.50	15.53	15.58
Interest Rate	10.65%	9.57%	9.57%
Interest on Working Capital	1.65	1.49	1.49

The Commission approves Interest on Working Capital at Rs. 1.49 Crore for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

The Commission considers the Interest on Working Capital as uncontrollable, since the components forming part of the working capital are mostly uncontrollable. Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-27: Gains / (Losses) on account of Interest on Working Capital for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Approved in Truing up	Deviation + / (-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontroll able factor
Interest on Working Capital	1.65	1.49	0.16	0.00	0.16

4.12 Interest on Security Deposit

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that the contribution to security deposit depends upon the addition of new consumers & their load growth from time to time as projected in ARR Petition for FY 2020-21. Moreover, the bulk consumers opt to give Bank Guaranty (BG) instead of cash deposit in case of amount of security deposit more than Rs. 25 Lakh.



MUL further submitted that as per RBI circular no. RBI/2019-20/190 dated 27.3.2020, the bank rate was 4.65%. Thus, the amount of interest on security deposit was paid to the consumers at bank rate applicable on 01.04.2020 as per the Table below:

Table 4-28: Interest on Security Deposit claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Actual claimed	Deviation
Security Deposit	1.76	3.12	
Interest Rate (%)	6.25%	4.65%	
Interest Cost	0.11	0.12	-0.01

MUL requested the Commission to approve the actual interest paid on consumer security deposit and consider the variation as uncontrollable.

Commission's analysis

The Commission has verified from the audited accounts that the opening and closing values of security deposit are Rs. 2.33 Crore and Rs. 3.92 Crore respectively, leading to an average deposit value of Rs. 3.12 Crore. However, the actual interest paid as per audited accounts is found to be Rs. 0.12 Crore as claimed by MUL. Accordingly, the Commission approves this value as per actuals.

Table 4-29: Interest on Security Deposit approved for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order Actual claime dated 30.7.2019		Approved in Truing up
Average Deposit	1.76	3.12	3.12
Interest on Security Deposit	0.11	0.12	0.12

The Commission approves Interest on Security Deposit at Rs. 0.12 Crore for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

The factor which affects security deposit is the number of consumers. As per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 variation in the number of consumers is an uncontrollable factor. Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:



Table 4-30: Gains / (Losses) on account of Interest on Security Deposit for FY 2020-21

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Approved in Truing up	Deviation + / (-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontrolla ble factor
Interest on Security Deposit	0.11	0.12	-0.01	0.00	-0.01

4.13 Return on Equity

Petitioner's submission

The equity addition for FY 2020-21 has been considered as 30% of the amount of net capitalization (excluding SLC) during the year. The Return on Equity (RoE) has been computed by applying a rate of 14% on the average of the opening and closing balance of equity for FY 2020-21 as per Regulation 37 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-31: Return on Equity claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual Claimed
Opening Equity	21.32	21.64
Addition to Equity towards Capital Investment	1.15	0.28
Closing Balance of Equity	22.47	21.92
Average Return on Equity	21.90	21.78
RoE at 14%	3.07	3.05

The Petitioner requested the Commission to allow the same for the purpose of Trueup.

Commission's analysis

The closing equity as on 31.03.2020 approved in the Order dated 1st April 2021 in Case No. 1924 of 2021 has been considered as the opening equity for FY 2020-21. The addition of equity during FY 2020-21 is considered as approved in the aforementioned section of Capex and Capital expenditure (



Table 4-15) of this Order. The rate of return is considered 14% as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, to work out the Return on Equity as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-32: Return on Equity approved for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual Claimed	Approved in Truing up
Opening Equity	21.32	21.64	21.64
Addition to Equity	1.15	0.28	0.00
Closing Equity	22.47	21.92	21.64
Average Equity	21.90	21.78	21.64
RoE at 14%	3.07	3.05	3.03

The Commission approves Return on Equity at Rs. 3.03 Crore for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

The Commission is of the view that Return on Equity depends on the amount of capitalisation during the financial year and that the parameters affecting the capitalisation are uncontrollable in nature, as noted in preceding sections. Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:

The Commission, accordingly, approves the Gains/ (Losses) on account of Return on Equity in Truing up for FY 2020-21 as detailed below:

Table 4-33: Gains / (Losses) on account of Return on Equity for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Approved in Truing up	Deviation + / (-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontrollable factor
Return on Equity	3.07	3.03	0.04	0.00	0.04

4.14 Income Tax

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that it has paid Rs. 4.25 Crore income tax for FY 2020-21. Accordingly, it has claimed Rs. 4.25 Crore against NIL approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019 as shown in the Table below:





Table 4-34: Income Tax claimed for FY 2020-21

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual Claimed	Deviation +/(-)
Income Tax	2.77	4.25	-1.48

The Petitioner has requested the Commission to consider variation in Income Tax and allow variation as uncontrollable for the purpose of Truing up.

Commission's analysis

The Commission while verifying the other income from the audited accounts observed that the Petitioner has booked major portion of the income for parent company and others. Hence it will be incorrect to burden MUL consumers with taxes of other's income. In reply to data-gaps, MUL has submitted the income tax allocation towards revenue from sale of power only (excluding the other income booked in the Audited Accounts for FY 2020-21) as Rs 3.45 Crore as under:

Table 4-35: Income Tax allocation submitted by the Petitioner for FY 2020-21

Particular	Tax Amount (in Rs.)
Tax on Profit & Loss account as per consolidated financials	42,140,195
Tax on Loss of CETP Business	369,119
Tax on Power Business Income (Including Other Income) (A)	42,509,314
Tax on Other Income	
Parent Company	5,824,259
Other	1,771,366
Liability no longer required written back	127,069
Profit on sale of Investment (Mutual fund)	140,303
Miscellaneous Income	160,938
Tax on Other Income (B)	8,023,936
Tax on Power Business Income (Excluding Other Income) (A-B)	34,485,378

The Commission has worked-out the income tax on allowed other income as Rs 0.03 Crore (Rs. 127,069+ Rs. 160,938). Therefore, the Commission decided to consider the income tax (excluding the income tax on other income not allowed) of Rs 3.48 Crore (Rs 3.45 Crore +Rs. 0.03 Crore) towards actual income tax for FY 2020-21.

Accordingly, the Commission approves Income Tax of Rs. 3.48 Crore for Truing up for FY 2020-21 as shown below:



Table 4-36: Income Tax approved for FY 2020-21

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual Claimed	Approved in Truing Up
Income Tax	2.77	4.25	3.48

As per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 variation in the taxes on income is an uncontrollable factor. Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-37: Gains / (Losses) on account of Income Tax for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Deviation + / (-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontrollable factor
Income Tax	2.77	3.48	-0.70	0.00	-0.70

4.15 Contingency Reserve

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that it has not contributed to the contingency reserve during FY 2020-21 against Nil value approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019. Accordingly, it has not claimed any amount under this head.

Commission's analysis

The Commission approves contribution to contingency reserve at Nil for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

The Commission considers variation in the contribution to contingency reserve as an uncontrollable factor. Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:



Table 4-38: Gains / (Losses) on account of Contribution to Contingency Reserve for FY 2020-21

Particulars	Approved in the MYT Order	Approved in Truing up	Deviation + / (-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controlla ble factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontroll able factor
Contingency Reserve	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4.16 Non-Tariff Income

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that the Commission had approved the Non-Tariff Income of Rs. 2.92 Crore in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019. However, the actual Non-Tariff Income for FY 2020-21 is Rs. 3.44 Crore, as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-39: Non-Tariff Income claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual Claimed	Deviation +/(-)
Non-Tariff Income	2.92	3.44	-0.52

MUL submitted that the variation in Non-Tariff Income is on account of consideration of rebate on prompt payment. MUL requested the Commission to allow the variation in Non-Tariff Income as uncontrollable for the purpose of True-up.

Commission's analysis

The Non-Tariff Income is specified in Regulations 89 and 97 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, which includes various items such as income from sale of scrap, income from statutory investment, interest on advances to supplier/contractor, etc.

The Commission observed that MUL has considered the Non-Tariff Income as Rs. 3.44 Crore comprising Rebate of Rs. 3.41 crore, income tax refund of Rs. 1,66,873 and miscellaneous income of Rs. 1,64,416.

The Petitioner has excluded Rs.0.09 Crore on account of Delayed Payment Charges. The Petitioner has also excluded interest income from bank, Parent company, others and liability written back and profit on sale of investment from the Non-Tariff Income. The Commission is of the view that "liability no longer required written back" is for



expenses previously allowed by the Commission, hence the written back of Rs. 0.07 Crore is considered as other income as per Audited Accounts for FY 2020-21.

Accordingly, the Commission approves Non-Tariff Income of Rs. 3.51 Crore for Truing up for FY 2020-21 as shown below:

Table 4-40: Non-Tariff Income approved for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Actual Claimed	Approved in Truing Up
Non-Tariff Income	2.92	3.44	3.51

The Commission considers variation in the Non-Tariff Income as an uncontrollable factor. Accordingly, the Commission has approved the Gains / (Losses) as shown in the Table below:

Table 4-41: Gains / (Losses) on account of Non-Tariff Income for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019	Approved in Truing up	Deviation +/(-)	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontroll able factor
Non-Tariff Income	2.92	3.51	-0.59	0.00	-0.59

4.17 Revenue from Sale of Power to Consumers

MUL has claimed a revenue of Rs. 199.93 Crore from sale of power to consumers in FY 2020-21. The Commission observes that the revenue as per audited accounts is Rs. 199.93 Crore.

The Commission noted that MUL has waived Demand/ Fixed Charges of Rs. 0.68 Crore for LTMD / NRGP / HT consumers under Relief announced by the GoG due to COVID-19. Hence, the actual revenue for FY 2020-21 is lesser to that extent. MUL has claimed this revenue loss from the ARR. The GR announced by the State Government clearly says that the DISCOMs shall bear the loss on account of such relief. Hence, the MUL has to bear such revenue loss. If the revenue loss is passed on to the consumers, then it would amount to the relief announced by the GoG earlier being reversed/negated.



Therefore, the Commission has considered revenue of Rs. 0.68 Crore waived against Demand/ Fixed Charges for LTMD / NRGP / HT consumers in actual revenue for FY 2020-21

Accordingly, the Commission approves Revenue of Rs. 200.61 Crore (Rs. 199.93 Crore + Rs 0.68 Crore) from sale of power to consumers for Truing up for FY 2020-21.

4.18 Summary of Aggregate Revenue Requirement and Sharing of Gains/ Losses

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted the comparison of various ARR items and computed the Gains/ Losses due to controllable and uncontrollable factors as summarized below:

Table 4-42: Controllable & Uncontrollable Variations as claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No	Particulars	Approve d in the MTR Order	Actual Claime d	Over (+)/ under (-) Recover y	Gains / (Losses) due to Controllab le factor	Gains / (Losses) due to Uncontrolla ble factor
1	Power Purchase Expenses	162.58	171.30	-8.72		-8.72
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	11.33	10.39	0.95		0.95
3	Depreciation	1.99	2.83	-0.84		-0.84
4	Interest & Finance Charges	2.14	2.21	-0.07		-0.07
5	Interest on Security Deposit	0.11	0.12	-0.01		-0.01
6	Interest on Working Capital	1.65	1.49	0.16		0.16
7	Bad Debts Written Off	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
8	Contribution to Contingency Reserves	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
9	Total Revenue Expenditure	179.81	188.34	-8.53		-8.53
10	Return on Equity Capital	3.07	3.05	0.02		0.02
11	Income Tax	2.77	4.25	-1.48		-1.48
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	185.65	195.64	-9.99		-9.99
13	Less: Non-Tariff Income	2.92	3.44	-0.52	_	-0.52
14	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	182.73	192.20	-9.47		-9.47

MUL has identified all the expenditure heads under controllable and uncontrollable categories. The Gains / (Losses) arise as a result of True up of FY 2020-21 for MUL



and shall be suitably passed through the tariff as per mechanism specified by the Commission. The variation in the power purchase cost from approved ARR is on account of variation in sales and variation in actual cost. Any variation on account of power procurement cost is treated as uncontrollable. The variation in O&M Expenses are treated as uncontrollable. The variations in Interest on Long Term Loan, Interest on Security Deposit, Interest on Working Capital, Income Tax and Non-Tariff Income have been treated as uncontrollable.

Based on the above, the sharing of Gains and Losses due to controllable & uncontrollable factors is summarized below.

Table 4-43: Sharing of Gains & Losses as claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Pass through by Adjustment of Tariff	To be Retained/ Absorbed	Total
Controllable Gain/(Losses)	0.00	0.00	0.00
Uncontrollable Gain/(Losses)	-9.47	-	-9.47
Total	-9.47	-	-9.47

As per the above Table, Total losses of (-) Rs. 9.47 Crore shall be passed through to the consumers.

Following is the summary of Trued-up ARR of 2020-21 to be recovered by MUL after incorporation of sharing of Gains / (Losses)

Table 4-44: Trued-up ARR as claimed for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particular	Actual Claimed
1	Approved as per the MTR Order (A)	182.73
2	Less: Gain on account of Controllable Factor to be passed on to the Consumers (1/3) (B)	0.00
3	Less: Gain on account of Un-controllable Factor to be passed on to the Consumers (C)	-9.47
4	Trued-up ARR for FY 2020-21, D= (A-B-C)	192.20

Commission's analysis

The Commission reviewed the performance of MUL under Regulation 21 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 with reference to the Audited Annual Accounts for FY 2020-21. The Commission has computed the sharing of Gains and Losses for FY 2020-21 based on the Truing up for each of the components discussed in the above



paragraphs. The ARR approved for FY 2020-21 in the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019 and computed in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 are given in the Table below:

Table 4-45: ARR approved for FY 2020-21 along with impact of Controllable/ Uncontrollable factors

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approv ed in the MYT Order	Actual Claimed	Approv ed in Truing up	Devia tion +/(-)	Control lable (Gain/(Loss))	Uncontr ollable (Gain/(L oss))
1	Power Purchase Expenses	162.58	171.30	171.30	-8.72	-	-8.72
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	11.33	10.39	10.31	1.03	-	1.03
3	Depreciation	1.99	2.83	2.81	-0.82	-	-0.82
4	Interest & Finance Charges	2.14	2.21	1.22	0.92	-	0.92
5	Interest on Security Deposit	0.11	0.12	0.12	-0.01	-	-0.01
6	Interest on Working Capital	1.65	1.49	1.49	0.16	•	0.16
7	Bad Debts Written Off	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
8	Contribution to Contingency Reserves	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.00
9	Total Revenue Expenditure	179.81	188.34	187.25	-7.44	-	-7.44
10	Return on Equity Capital	3.07	3.05	3.03	0.04	-	0.04
11	Income Tax	2.77	4.25	3.48	-0.70	-	-0.70
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	185.65	195.64	193.75	-8.11		-8.11
13	Less: Non-Tariff Income	2.92	3.44	3.51	-0.59	-	-0.59
14	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	182.73	192.20	190.24	-7.51	-	-7.51

Summary of Trued-up ARR of FY 2020-21 to be recovered by MUL after incorporation of sharing of Gains/ Losses is as detailed in the Table below:

Table 4-46: Trued-up ARR approved for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particular	Approved in Truing up
1	Approved as per the Tariff Order dated 30.7.2019(A)	182.73
2	Less: Gain on account of controllable factor to be passed on to the consumers (1/3) (B)	0
3	Less: Gain on account of Un-controllable factor to be passed on to the consumers (C)	-7.51



Sr.	Particular	Approved in
No.		Truing up
4	Trued-up ARR for FY 2020-21, D= (A-B-C)	190.24

4.19 Net revenue Gap / (Surplus)

The Net revenue Gap / (Surplus) approved for FY 2020-21 is given in the Table below:

Table 4-47: Approved Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Actual Claimed	Approved in Truing-up
1	Annual Revenue Requirement (Trued up)	192.20	190.24
2	Revenue from Sale of Power	199.93	200.61
3	Net Revenue Gap / (Surplus) (1-2)	-7.73	-10.37

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that the Commission in its Tariff Order dated 03.04.2020 has approved consolidated revenue gap of Rs. 9.69 Crore for FY 2018-19 and carrying cost of Rs. 1.15 Crore for previous year of FY 2016-17 and Rs. 1.63 Crore on this revenue gap of FY 2018-19 as per GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. Accordingly, MUL has submitted its Consolidated Revenue Gap/(Surplus) claim for FY 2020-21 as below:

Table 4-48: Consolidated Revenue Gap / (Surplus) claimed for FY 2020-21 (Rs. Crore)

Particulars Particulars	Actual Claimed
Net Revenue Gap / (Surplus) of FY 2020-21	-7.73
Add: Approved Consolidated Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2018-19	9.69
Add: Carrying Cost for FY 2016-17	1.15
Add: carrying cost on revenue gap of FY 2018-19 for FY 2019-20 & FY 2020-21	1.63
Consolidated revenue Gap/(Surplus) for FY 2020-21	4.74

Commission's analysis

The Commission has scrutinised the same with due-diligence. The Net revenue Gap / (Surplus) approved by the Commission for FY 2020-21 is given in the Table below:



Table 4-49: Approved Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Approved
1	Revenue from Sale of Power	200.61*
2	Net Revenue available for True-up ARR for FY 2020-21 (1-2)	190.24
3	Revenue Gap / (Surplus) (1-2)	-10.37
4	Approved Consolidated Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2018-19	9.69
5	Net Revenue Gap / (Surplus) (3+4)	-0.68
6	Carrying Cost for FY 2016-17	1.15
7	carrying cost on revenue gap of FY 2018-19 for FY 2019-20 & FY 2020-21	1.63
8	Net Consolidated Revenue Gap / (Surplus) for FY 2020-21 to be carried forward (5+6+7)	2.10

^{*}Includes Rs. 0.68 Crore on account of covid relief during on account on non-levy of fixed/demand charges to the consumers not to be passed on in the tariff

Accordingly, The Commission approves the Trued-up consolidated Revenue Gap for FY 2020-21 of Rs. 2.10 Crore. This Trued-up Gap is considered by the Commission for Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23.

The Commission has dealt with carrying cost for FY 2020-21 in the next Chapter while deciding Tariff for FY 2022-23.



5. Determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23

5.1 Introduction

This Chapter deals with the determination of Revenue Gap/Surplus, as well as Consumer Tariff for FY 2022-23.

The Commission has issued Suo-Motu Order No. 1995 of 2021 dated 24.9.2021 about applicability of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 for filing application / Petition for the determination of Annual ARR for FY 2022-23 and proposal for determination of tariff for FY 2022-23.

MUL has accordingly submitted that it has worked out the projected ARR for FY 2022-23 based on the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 in line with the directions of the Commission in the Suo-Motu Order No. 1995 of 2021 dated 24.9.2021.

5.2 Energy Sales

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that sales forecast worked out according to demand projection as per actual sales in the past years and current market scenario in the SEZ area.

MUL has submitted that the license area is being developed for setting up an industrial hub by the Developer of Mundra SEZ. The Mundra SEZ is still in the development phase as the new industries and associated necessary infrastructure would likely to take place gradually based on overall economic conditions. Hence, it would be very difficult to carry out the Demand and Sales projection more accurately and precisely for this area.

MUL has considered the projections given by industrial & commercial units, who already have set up in the SEZ area. These projections are based on the details captured from respective consumers. MUL has endeavoured its best to work out projections of energy sales and also collected inputs from developer of Mundra SEZ for projections. The sales projections for FY 2022-23 are as follow:



Table 5-1: Energy Sales projected for FY 2022-23

(MUs)

Particulars	Projection
HT Category	
HTMD-I (Commercial)	161.81
HTMD-I (Industrial)	350.21
HTMD-II	18.92
HTMD-III	4.97
HTMD-IV	1.58
HTMD-EV Charging Station	-
Traction	20.64
Low Voltage Category	
Residential	-
Commercial (Non Demand)	-
Commercial (Demand)	3.09
Industrial (Non Demand)	-
Industrial (Demand)	0.06
Street Lights	0.41
Temporary	-
LT-EV Charging Stations	-
Total Sale	561.69

As regards with the number of consumers, MUL has submitted that the consumer category mainly served by the Petitioner in Mundra SEZ area would be predominantly industrial and commercial bulk consumer of HTMD-I category. The consumer base of other categories would be negligible.

Based on inputs collected from developer of Mundra SEZ about prospective clients and details of plots allotted so far in Mundra SEZ area, the projections of consumer have been worked out. The summery is as under:

Table 5-2: No. of Consumers projected for FY 2022-23

Particulars	Projection
HT Category	
HTMD-I (Commercial)	21
HTMD-I (Industrial)	23
HTMD-II	2
HTMD-III	1
HTMD-IV	2
HTMD-EV Charging Station	-
Traction	1
Low Voltage Category	



Particulars	Projection
Residential	-
Commercial (Non Demand)	-
Commercial (Demand)	29
Industrial (Non Demand)	-
Industrial (Demand)	1
Street Lights	8
Temporary	-
LT-EV Charging Stations	-
Total Sale	88

Commission's analysis

The Commission has noted the category-wise sales projected by the Petitioner for FY 2022-23. As energy sales are difficult to predict given that the SEZ is still under the development stage, the Commission is of the view that the Licensee is in the best position to judge the sales growth, and hence, accepts the category-wise sales as projected by MUL, as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-3: Energy Sales approved for FY 2022-23

(MUs)

		(
Particulars	Projected	Approved
Energy	561.69	561.69

Any variation in the actual energy sales will be considered during the truing up for FY 2022-23.

5.3 Distribution Losses

Petitioner's submission

MUL has projected distribution losses of 3.50% for the FY 2022-23 considering addition of basic infrastructure.

MUL has submitted that it has created basic infrastructure to provide power connectivity to its consumers in SEZ area. MUL has considered N-1 transformation redundancy at all level for higher power reliability and availability to end consumers in the Zone as specified in Distribution Code.

In view of above, MUL has requested the Commission to allow projected distribution losses of 3.50% for FY 2022-23.



Commission's analysis

The Petitioner has considered the Distribution Losses of 3.50% for FY 2022-23. The Petitioner has also submitted that MUL has considered N-1 transformation redundancy at all level for higher power reliability and availability to end consumers in the Zone as specified in Distribution Code.

The Commission notes the submission of MUL. However, considering that MUL has already managed to bring down distribution losses to 3.25% i.e., below the approved loss levels of 4.00%, it would not be appropriate to approve loss trajectory at higher level. MUL should strive to at least maintain the Distribution Losses at the level achieved in FY 2020-21 if not improve it. Accordingly, the Commission approves 3.25% distribution losses for the FY 2022-23.

5.4 Energy Balance

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that the projection of Energy Balance for the FY 2022-23 is based on projection of Energy Sales and projected distribution & transmission losses.

Since, MUL is directly connected to APMuL bus through dedicated transmission line and hence NIL transmission losses have been considered. Similarly, in case of LTPPA with UPCL, the delivery of power is at MUL's bus and therefore, no transmission losses have been considered.

MUL has submitted that it has considered procurement of power through short term arrangement to cater the demand over and above LTPPA and therefore, transmission losses have been considered.

MUL has further submitted that the renewable power requirement to fulfil Solar RPO, the Petitioner has considered to use renewable attribute of Solar Projects for captive consumption of its consumers and procurement of solar energy through IEX for shortfall RPO and therefore, applicable transmission losses have been considered.

The estimated energy sales, losses and Energy Balance for the FY 2022-23 as projected by MUL are given below:

Table 5-4: Energy Requirement projected for FY 2022-23

(MUs)

Particulars	MUL Petition
Energy Sales	561.69



Particulars	MUL Petition
Distribution Losses (%)	3.50%
Distribution Losses (MU)	20.37
Energy Requirement	582.06
Transmission Losses (%)	2.05%
Transmission Losses (MUs)	12.17
Total Energy Requirement	594.23

Commission's analysis

In response to the Commission's query for projecting transmission loss of 2.05% during FY 2022-23, the Petitioner submitted that it has proposed the transmission losses after considering the state transmission losses of 3.63% (Average of actual monthly transmission losses from February 2019 to August 2021) and inter-state transmission losses of 3.44% (Average of actual weekly transmission losses from 2nd November 2020 to October 2021). The Commission finds it appropriate to consider the state transmission losses average for 24 months only. Accordingly, the Commission approves State Transmission Losses of 3.53% and inter-State Transmission losses of 3.44% for FY 2022-23 as submitted by the Petitioner.

Based on the energy sales approved, Distribution Losses approved, and Transmission Losses, the Commission has computed the estimated energy requirement for MUL for FY 2022-23 in the Table below:

Table 5-5: Energy requirement approved for FY 2022-23 (MUs)

Particulars	Projected	Allowed
Sales (MU)	561.69	561.69
Distribution loss (%)	3.50%	3.25%
Distribution loss (MU)	20.37	18.88
Energy at Discom Periphery (MU)	582.06	580.57
Transmission loss (%)	2.05%	3.53%
Transmission Loss (MU)	12.17	5.77
Energy at Transmission Periphery (MU)		586.34
Inter-state Transmission loss (%)		3.44%
Inter-State Transmission Loss (MU)		5.82
Energy requirement at Transmission Periphery (MU)	594.23	592.16



5.5 Energy Availability and Power Purchase Cost

Petitioner's submission

MUL has projected power requirement, which shall be procured for retail supply business during FY 2022-23. MUL has worked out the quantum of power procurement based on projected sale of power to its customers and projected T&D losses.

MUL has considered the source-wise energy procurement based on estimated sales during FY 2022-23. The estimated source-wise energy procurement is as below:

Table 5-6: Source-wise Energy Procurement projected for FY 2022-23

(In MUs)

Particulars	Projected
Long Term Contract	361.77
Bilateral and others	146.77
Renewable Purchase Obligation (RPO) – Solar	33.10
RPO – Wind	48.22
RPO – Others	4.37
Net Power Purchase Cost	594.23

MUL has submitted that it has considered procurement of power through its Thermal LTPPAs and Wind LTPPA for FY 2022-23.

MUL has not considered additional purchase of power under long / medium term arrangement which may lead to burden the consumer in future, if the projected demand will not met or get reduced. Therefore, considering the consumer interest, the Petitioner will meet additional power requirement under short term arrangement till the time demand is not stabilized.

MUL has considered solar power from its captive solar rooftop plants. MUL is also using the solar attributes of its consumers, who have installed captive solar rooftop plants to meet the solar RPO. MUL has also considered purchase of solar power from green market through IEX to fulfil its RPO.

MUL has considered purchase of aforesaid renewable power in accordance with the RPO target for FY 2021-22 notified by Hon'ble Commission through its GERC (Procurement of Energy from Renewable Sources) (Second Amendment)



Regulations, 2018 as there is no notification by Hon'ble Commission for FY 2022-23. MUL also submitted that the actual cost of RPO will be considered during Truing-up.

The summary of estimated source-wise power purchase cost during FY 2022-23 is tabulated below:

Table 5-7: Source-wise Power Purchase projected for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projected
Long Term Contract	166.64
Bilateral and others	49.97
RPO – Solar	12.02
RPO – Wind	16.77
RPO – Others	3.09
Other (Reactive, SLDC & Transmission Charges)	16.72
Total Cost	265.20

Commission's analysis

The Commission has considered the full available contracted capacity under the APL PPA for meeting load requirement. The Commission has considered purchase of renewable power from captive, Wind LTPPA and IEX in accordance with the RPO target notified by the Commission through the GERC (Procurement of Energy from Renewable Sources) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2018.

The Commission has also considered the balance purchase (excluding the RE purchase from IEX) from short-term market (IEX) under the head bilateral and others.

The Commission hereby approves the source-wise energy purchase as follows:

Table 5-8: Energy Availability approved for FY 2022-23

(MUs)

Particulars	Projected	Approved
Long Term Contract	361.77	372.30
Bilateral and Others	146.77	119.19
RPO - Solar	33.10	47.37
RPO - Wind	48.22	48.85
RPO - Others	4.37	4.44



Particulars	Projected	Approved
Total	594.23	592.16

In response to the Commission's query, the Petitioner has submitted the LT PPAs and the details of calculation of power purchase in data gap replies. The Petitioner has submitted the source-wise power purchase quantum and cost. MUL has submitted the projected average rate of Rs. 4.61/kWh as per the LT PPA with APL for APL-LT. For RPO Wind, MUL has considered the average rate of Rs. 3.46/kWh as per PPA. For RPO Solar, MUL has considered average rate of Rs. 3.63/kWh. For fulfilling RPO form others, MUL considered the preferential tariff of Rs. 7.07 kWh. For short-term purchase from IEX under bilateral and others, MUL has considered the rate of Rs. 3.40/kWh. The Commission has considered the MUL's projected rates of Rs. 4.61/kWh for APL-LT, Rs. 3.48/kWh for Wind RPO, Rs. 3.63/kWh for Solar RPO, Rs. 7.07 kWh for RPO from others and the rate of Rs. 3.40/kWh for short-term power from IEX.

Regarding the Transmission charges, the Commission has considered Transmission charges for FY 2022-23 as projected by the Petitioner.

Accordingly, the Commission approves the projected power purchase cost based on approved energy requirement as follows:

Table 5-9: Source-wise Power Purchase Cost approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projected	Approved
Long Term Contract	166.64	168.33
Bilateral and Others	49.97	40.58
RPO – Solar	12.02	17.20
RPO – Wind	16.77	16.99
RPO – Others	3.09	3.14
Other (Reactive, SLDC & Transmission	16.72	16.72
Charges)		
Net Power Purchase Cost	265.20	262.95

5.6 Renewable Purchase Obligation

MUL has considered purchase of aforesaid renewable power in accordance with the RPO target for FY 2021-22 notified by the Commission through its GERC (Procurement of Energy from Renewable Sources) (Second Amendment)



Regulations, 2018 as there is no notification by the Commission for FY 2022-23. MUL also submitted that the actual cost of RPO will be considered during Truing-up. Based on the GERC (Procurement of Energy from Renewable Sources) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2018, the Renewable Purchase Obligation from RE sources approved for FY 2022-23 is tabulated below:

Table 5-10: Renewable Purchase Obligation approved for FY 2022-23

Particulars	Units	Solar	Wind	Others	Total
Total Energy Requirement	MU				592.16
RPO Target	%	8%	8.25%	0.75%	17.00%
RPO Target	MU	47.37	48.85	4.44	100.67
RPO fulfilment from existing sources	MU	0.96	45.24	4.37	50.56
Unmet RPO	MU	46.42	3.62	0.08	50.11
RPO fulfilment from IEX	MU	46.42	3.62	0.08	50.11
Total	MU	47.37	48.85	4.44	100.67
Power Purchase cost	Rs Crore	17.20	16.99	3.14	37.33
Rate	Rs/Unit	3.63	3.48	7.07	3.71

5.7 Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of Capex

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that the availability of qualitative and reliable power supply to the unit holders is the most important element for successful development of Mundra SEZ. The Investors prefer to set up their continuance process industry in SEZ area if they get uninterrupted qualitative power supply.

The Petitioner has planned to established state of art distribution network along with built-in redundancies to ensure uninterrupted quality power supply to the unit holders in Mundra SEZ.

MUL has submitted that it has endeavoured its best to estimate CAPEX for FY 2022- 23 based on principles set in Distribution Code.

MUL has considered following assumptions for projecting the CAPEX during FY 2022-23:

 The hybrid i.e. combination of overhead line and underground cable has been considered for EHV network at 66 KV level.



- The indoor type sub-station has been considered for HV S/s of 11 KV Level.
- The underground cables have been considered for HV and LV Network.
- The EHV network shall be ready to serve infrastructure to cater power supply in SEZ area.
- The HV/LV network shall be laid on need basis for last mile connectivity.
- The costs of material and services have been considered as per existing rates
 - (without any taxes and duties), no escalation factor has been considered.
- The CAPEX is proposed to be funded with a debt equity ratio of 70:30.

MUL has planned to undertake capital investments for development of power distribution infrastructure to meet power requirement of its consumers.

MUL has projected addition of few retail consumers during FY 2022-23, and it is expected that consumer base would reach to 88 nos. with arithmetic sum of contracted demand up to 136 MVA.

The Petitioner has considered conversion of radial feed in to Ring Network at HT level for better reliability to industrial units and solar injections installed by the consumers. The Petitioner has considered augmentation of 66 KV Network between MRSS – MITAP S/s considering power demand and providing better reliability to the consumers which are continuous process industry. The Petitioner has considered EHV substation along with associated EHV Transmission Line to cater the power on eastern side of SEZ at north corridor to fulfil power requirement to prospective industries and Railway Traction. The Petitioner has considered communicable feeder metering system in view of automated energy accounting and calculation of feeder wise losses.

Based on above, MUL has submitted the summarized statement of proposed capital expenditure during FY 2022-23 as shown in below table:

Table 5-11: Capital Expenditure projected for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

	Particulars	Projection
Α	EHV (220 kV & 66 kV)	
	EHV Transmission line	15.96



	Particulars	Projection
	EHV Transmission Cable	21.80
	EHV Substation	6.23
	Land Cost	4.01
	Civil Cost	4.43
	Total	52.44
В	HT (33 kV & 11 kV) & NETWORK	
	33 kV HT Cable Network	
	11 kV HT Cable Network	1.63
	33 / 11 kV HT Substation	
	Land Cost	
	Civil Cost	
	Total	1.63
С	Others	
	Automation & SCADA	1.07
	Testing and Measuring Equipment	
	IT	
	Meters & AMR	0.23
	Miscellaneous	
	Buildings & other civil work	
	Total	1.30
D	Grand Total	55.37

MUL has submitted the proposed scheme-wise Capitalization for FY 2022-23 as under:

Table 5-12: Proposed scheme-wise Capitalization for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Project Code	Project Title	Projection
1	HT/LT Network	Power Connectivity & common Network for consumers	0.50
2	HT/LT Network	Power Connectivity & common Network for consumers	1.13
3	Others	Communicable Feeder Meter	0.23
4	EHV Network	66 kV Transmission Line	5.72
5	EHV Network	66 kV Transmission Line	32.31
6	EHV Network	66 kV Transmission Line	3.20
7	EHV Substation	66 kV Hybrid Substation	12.28
	Total		55.37



Accordingly, MUL has proposed Capital expenditure & Capitalization for FY 2022-23 as per below table:

Table 5-13: Capital Expenditure and Capitalization projected for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection
Capital Expenditure	55.37
Capitalisation	55.37

MUL has proposed to fund part of the Capitalization for FY 2022-23 from unutilized/recovered SLC and balance through normative debt: equity in the ratio of 70:30. The funding of capitalization as projected by the Petitioner as per below table:

Table 5-14: Funding of projected Capitalization for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection
Opening GFA	140.93
Addition to GFA	55.37
Deletion from GFA	-
Closing GFA	196.30
SLC Contribution	21.36
Capitalization for Debt: Equity	34.01
Normative Debt (70%)	23.81
Normative Equity (30%)	10.20

Commission's analysis

The Commission has sought the details of DPR for the proposed capex and capitalisation for FY 2022-23. In response to the Commission's query, the Petitioner submitted the details related to capex planning. Considering the justification submitted by the Petitioner, the Commission hereby allows the capex of Rs. 55.37 Crore.

Regarding the capitalisation, the Commission observed that the Petitioner has estimated project completion within 9 months from statutory approvals. Further, the



Petitioner submitted that project timeline includes Procurement, Design / Engineering, Equipment delivery, Civil work, Installation and Commissioning. Accordingly, the Commission allows the capitalisation of Rs 55.37 Crore during FY 2022-23.

The Commission has considered the approved closing GFA for FY 2020-21 of Rs. 114.53 Crore as approved in this Order and the addition of assets of Rs. 26.40 Crore in FY 2021-22 as approved in the MTR Order dated 30th July 2019 for working out the closing GFA for FY 2021-22. Accordingly, the closing balance of GFA for FY 2021-22 thus worked out has been considered as opening balance of GFA for FY 2022-23.

Table 5-15: Capital Expenditure, Capitalization and Funding of Capex approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projected	Allowed	
Opening GFA	140.93	140.93	
Addition to GFA	55.37	55.37	
Deletion from GFA	-	0.00	
Closing GFA	196.3	196.30	
Financing of Capitalisation			
Total Funding requirement (Capitalisation)	55.37	55.37	
SLC contribution	21.36	21.36	
Funding requirement through Debt: Equity	34.01	34.01	
Normative Debt (70%)	23.81	23.81	
Normative Equity (30%)	10.2	10.20	

5.8 Operations and Maintenance Expenses

Petitioner's submission

The average of actual O&M expenses for FY 2018-19 to FY 2020-21 has been considered as the O&M expenses for the FY 2019-20 ending 31st March 2020 with escalation of 5.72% year on year to derive at the allowable O&M expenses for FY 2022-23 in line with the provision of the Regulation 86.2 of GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

MUL has submitted that the license area of the MUL is still in the development phase as the new industries and associated necessary infrastructure would likely to take place gradually and also the license area is falling under the saline



atmosphere, therefore actual O&M expenses may vary from the projections given below. Therefore, MUL has requested the Commission to approve the actual O&M expenses at the time of True-up.

Table 5-16: Operation and Maintenance Cost projected for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection
Employee Expenses	3.30
R&M Expenses	1.72
A&G Expenses	6.40
Operation and Maintenance Expenses	11.41

Commission's analysis

Regulations 86.2 and 94.8 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 specify the method of allowing normative O&M Expenses for the MYT Control Period, as reproduced below:

"86.2 Operation and Maintenance expenses:

- a) The Operation and Maintenance expenses shall be derived on the basis of the average of the actual Operation and Maintenance expenses for the three (3) years ending March 31, 2015, subject to prudence check by the Commission.
- b) The average of such operation and maintenance expenses shall be considered as operation and maintenance expenses for the financial year ended March 31, 2014 and shall be escalated year on year at the escalation factor of 5.72% to arrive at operation and maintenance expenses for subsequent years up to FY 2020-21..."

The Commission notes that FY 2022-23 was not part of the earlier control period (FY 2016-17 to FY 2020-21). While the notification of the new Tariff Regulations for the next Control Period was deferred by the Commission on account of circumstances and reasons beyond the control of the Commission, for the purpose of application of the norms for the FY 2022-23 as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, the FY 2022-23 is being treated at par with the first year of the control period. Accordingly, the allowable O&M expenses for the FY 2022-23 have been computed by the Commission in line with the provisions of the Regulation 86.2 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 by considering the average actual O&M expenses for FY 2018-19 to FY 2020-21 which have been considered as the



O&M expenses for FY 2019-20 ending 31st March 2020 and escalated year on year to arrive at the allowable O&M expenses for FY 2022-23.

Accordingly, the Commission approves the O&M Expenses for FY 2022-23 as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-17: Operation and Maintenance Expenses approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection	Approved
Employee Expenses	3.30	3.26
R&M Expenses	1.72	1.72
A&G Expenses	6.40	6.40
Operation and Maintenance Expenses	11.41	11.38

5.9 Depreciation

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted the following details related to fixed assets and depreciation for FY 2022-23.

Table 5-18: Depreciation projected for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projected
Opening Gross block	140.93
Closing Gross block	196.30
Depreciation	4.96

MUL has submitted that the computation of depreciation on the fixed assets is based on straight line method as prescribed in the Regulations. The Depreciation rates considered are also as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Commission's analysis

The Commission has considered the closing GFA approved in this order for FY 2020-21 and approved additional capitalisation during FY 2021-22 to arrive at the opening GFA for the FY 2022-23 for calculation of depreciation. The additional capitalisation for FY 2022-23 has been considered as approved by the Commission in previous section of Capital expenditure and capitalisation. The



Commission has observed that MUL has worked out average depreciation rate of 5.06% for FY 2022-23 by applying the GERC depreciation rates and has considered the amortisation rate of 5.32% on SLC which seems reasonable. Accordingly, the Commission has computed the depreciation for FY 2022-23 on average GFA for the year.

Accordingly, the Commission approves Depreciation of Rs. 4.96 Crore for FY 2022-23 as shown below:

Table 5-19: Depreciation approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Approved in the MTR Order	Actual claimed
Gross block of the Assets at the beginning of the Year	140.93	140.93
Addition during the Year	55.37	55.37
Gross block of the Assets at the end of the Year	196.30	196.30
Opening SLC	56.20	56.20
Addition during the Year	21.36	21.36
Closing SLC	77.56	77.56
Depreciation on Assets	8.52	8.52
Amortisation of SLC	3.56	3.56
Net Depreciation	4.96	4.96

5.10 Interest and Finance charges

Petitioner's submission

MUL submitted that it has calculated the Interest Expenses on the basis of actual weighted average interest rate charged by the bank for existing loan as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. MUL has paid the interest amount to the bank at weighted average interest rate of 11.25% during FY 2019-20 and same has been considered for FY 2022-23 as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

MUL has considered the debt-equity in 70:30 ratio indicated in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 excluding the capitalisation funded through unutilized/recovered Service Line Contribution (SLC).

MUL has submitted the following details in respect of interest on Loan.

Table 5-20: Interest on loan projected for FY 2022-23



(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection
Opening Loans	22.19
Less: Reduction of Normative Loan	-
Addition of Normative Loan	23.81
Repayment of Normative Loan	4.96
Closing Loan	41.04
Average Loan	31.61
Rate of Interest (%)	11.25%
Interest Expenses	3.56
Finance Charges	-
Total Interest on loan	3.56

Commission's analysis

The Commission has considered the closing balance of Normative Loan of Rs. 17.89 Crore for FY 2020-21 as approved in this Order and the addition of Normative Loan of Rs. 7.27 Crore revised after adjustment in unutilised SLC for FY 2021-22. Accordingly, the closing balance of Normative Loan worked out by the Commission for FY 2021-22 has been considered as opening balance of Normative Loan for FY 2022-23.

The loan addition as worked out in earlier section for FY 2022-23 and repayment equivalent to depreciation as approved for FY 2022-23 have been considered. As regards to the weighted average rate of interest, MUL has considered the weighted average interest rate of 11.25% for FY 2022-23 as per approved weighted average interest rate of 11.25% for 2019-20. The Commission has considered the interest rate of 6.25% at the RBI Bank Rate (4.25% as on 1st April 2021) plus 200 basis points as per the methodology discussed in True-up section of this Order. Accordingly, the Commission approves the Interest on loan as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-21: Interest on Loan approved up for FY 2022-23

Particulars	Projected	Approved
Interest on Normative Loan		
Opening Loan	22.19	21.99
Addition of Loan due to	23.81	23.81
Capitalisation during the		



Particulars	Projected	Approved
Less: Repayment	4.96	4.96
Closing Loan	41.04	40.83
Average Loan	31.61	31.41
Rate of Interest (%)	11.25%	6.25%
Interest Expenses	3.56	1.96
Bank & Finance Charges	-	0.00
Total Interest & Finance Charges	3.56	1.96

5.11 Interest on Security Deposit

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that the consumer whose amount of security deposit exceeds Rs. 25 Lakhs, at his option, can furnish the security deposit in the form of irrevocable bank guarantee initially valid for period of 2 years as per the GERC (Security Deposit) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2015.

MUL has submitted that the contribution to security deposit depends upon the addition of new consumers and their load growth from time to time. The Petitioner has computed the interest expenses on proposed security deposit for FY 2022-23 as per the RBI bank rate of 4.25% on 1st April 2021 as per GERC (Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016 is as below:

Table 5-22: Interest on Security Deposit projected for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection	
Amount held as Security Deposit	2.02	
Interest Rate (%)	4.25%	
Interest on Security Deposit	0.09	

Commission's analysis

The Commission has accepted the amount of consumer security deposits projected by the Petitioner for FY 2022-23 as the Petitioner is in better position to estimate the amount held as security deposit as many consumers are providing security deposit in Bank Guarantee form. The Commission has considered the RBI Bank Rate on 1st April 2021 @ 4.25% per annum.

Accordingly, the Commission approves the Interest on Security Deposit as shown in the Table below:



Table 5-23: Interest on Security Deposit approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection	Approved
Average Deposit	2.02	2.02
Interest Rate (%)	4.25%	4.25%
Interest on Security Deposit	0.09	0.09

5.12 Interest on Working Capital

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that the interest on working capital has been worked out as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The following have been considered for determining working capital in a year.

- Operation & Maintenance expenses for one month, plus maintenance spare @ 1 % of GFA, plus receivables equivalent to one month of the expected revenue, minus
- Amount, if any, held as security deposits against bill payment

MUL has considered interest on working capital at weighted average 1-year SBI Marginal Cost of Funds Based Lending Rate (MCLR) for FY 2020-21 plus 250 basis points @ 9.57% (7.07+2.50) as per GERC (Multi Year Tariff) Regulations, 2016.

The Interest on Working Capital is computed as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, is provided in the Table below:

Table 5-24: Interest on Working Capital projected for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projected
Working Capital Requirement	
O&M Expenses	0.95
Maintenance Spares	1.41
Receivables	24.75
Working Capital Requirement	27.11
Less: Average held as Security Deposit	2.02
Total Working Capital	25.09
Interest Rate (%)	9.57%
Interest on Working Capital	2.40

Commission's analysis

The Commission has computed the components of working capital, in line with the



methodology as specified in the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 using the component as approved in preceding sections of this Order.

The Commission has noted that MUL has considered revenue from sale of power at existing tariff for calculation of working capital requirement. The Commission has considered approved Aggregate Revenue Requirement for calculation of working capital requirement. The rate of interest on working capital has been considered as 9.50% considering SBI MCLR as on 01.04.2021 (7.00 % plus 250 basis points) as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The interest on working capital has been computed as per the provisions of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

The normative interest on working capital approved by the Commission for FY 2022-23 is shown in the Table below:

Table 5-25: Interest on Working Capital approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

		(
Particulars	MUL Petition	Approved in this
Working Capital Requirement		
O&M Expenses	0.95	0.95
Maintenance Spares	1.41	1.41
Receivables	24.75	23.98
Working Capital Requirement	27.11	26.34
Less: Average held as Security Deposit	2.02	2.02
Total Working Capital	25.09	24.32
Interest Rate (%)	9.57%	9.50%
Interest on Working Capital	2.40	2.31

5.13 Return on Equity

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that it has projected paid up equity capital with 70:30 debt: equity ratio on the assets put to use as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

MUL has considered a regulated return of 14% as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016.

Table 5-26: Return on Equity projected for FY 2022-23

Particulars	MUL Petition
Opening Equity	24.76
Equity portion of Capitalization during the Year	10.20
Closing Balance of Equity	34.96



Average Equity	29.86
Rate of RoE	14%
Return on Equity	4.18

Commission's analysis

The Commission has considered the closing balance of Equity of Rs. 21.64 Crore for FY 2020-21 as approved in this Order and the addition of Equity of Rs. 3.11 Crore for FY 2021-22 after revised utilisation of SLC to Rs 14.33 Crore from Rs 15.25 Crore approved in the Tariff Order for FY 2021-22 dated 1 April 2021 for computing the closing balance for FY 2021-22. Accordingly, the closing balance of Equity for FY 2021-22 thus worked out has been considered as opening balance of Normative Loan for FY 2022-23. The equity addition for FY 2022-23 has been considered as approved in earlier section of this Order. The rate of return is considered 14% as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, to work out the Return on Equity as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-27: Return on Equity approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection	Approved
Opening Equity	24.76	24.75
Addition to Equity	10.20	10.20
Closing Equity	34.96	34.96
Average Equity	29.86	29.86
Rate of RoE	14%	14%
Return on Equity	4.18	4.18

5.14 Income Tax

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that it has paid Rs. 4.25 Crore income tax for FY 2020-21. Accordingly, it has been considered as projected income tax during FY 2022-23 which is tabulated below:

Table 5-28: Income Tax projected for FY 2022-23

Particulars	MUL Petition	
Income Tax	4.25	



Commission's analysis

The Commission has approved Income Tax claim of Rs. 3.48 Crore for FY 2022-23 in line with the income tax approved for FY 2020-21 in this Order.

Accordingly, the Commission approves Income Tax at Rs. 3.48 Crore for FY 2022-23 as shown below:

Table 5-29: Income Tax approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Particulars	Projection	Approved
Income Tax	4.25	3.48

5.15 Contingency Reserve

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that it has not considered any contingency reserve during FY 2022-23.

Commission's analysis

The Commission approves contribution to contingency reserve at Nil for FY 2022-23.

5.16 Non-Tariff Income

Petitioner's submission

MUL has projected Nil amount of Non-Tariff income for FY2022-23.

Commission's analysis

The Commission has considered the non-tariff income of Rs 3.51 Crore for FY 2022-23 as approved for FY 2020-21 in this Order. The Non-Tariff Income as projected by the Petitioner for FY 2022-23, as shown in the Table below:

Table 5-30: Non-Tariff Income approved for FY 2022-23

Particulars	MUL Petition	Approved in this Order
Non-Tariff Income	0.00	3.51



5.17 Aggregate Revenue Requirement

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted the ARR for FY 2022-23 based on above as under:

Table 5-31: Summary of Aggregate Revenue Requirement projected for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Projected
1	Power Purchase Expenses	265.20
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	11.41
3	Depreciation	4.96
4	Interest & Finance charges	3.56
5	Interest on Security Deposit	0.09
6	Interest on Working Capital	2.40
7	Bad debts Written Off	0.00
8	Contribution to Contingency Reserves	0.00
9	Total Revenue expenditure	287.62
10	Return on Equity Capital	4.18
11	Income Tax	4.25
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	296.05
13	Less: Non-Tariff Income	0.00
14	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	296.05

MUL has requested the Commission to consider ARR mentioned above for determination of Tariff for FY 2022-23.

Commission's analysis

Considering the foregoing analysis, the Commission approves the ARR for FY 2022- 23 as shown below:

Table 5-32: ARR approved for FY 2022-23

Sr. No.	Particulars	Projected	Approved
1	Power Purchase Expenses	265.20	262.95
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	11.41	11.38
3	Depreciation	4.96	4.96
4	Interest & Finance charges	3.56	1.96
5	Interest on Security Deposit	0.09	0.09
6	Interest on Working Capital	2.40	2.31
7	Bad debts Written Off	0.00	0.00



Sr. No.	Particulars	Projected	Approved
8	Contribution to Contingency Reserves	0.00	0.00
9	Total Revenue expenditure	287.62	283.66
10	Return on Equity Capital	4.18	4.18
11	Income Tax	4.25	3.48
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	296.05	291.32
13	Less: Non-Tariff Income	0.00	3.51
14	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	296.05	287.80



5.18 Revenue at Existing Tariff and Gap/ (Surplus) Analysis

Petitioner's submission

MUL has claimed carrying cost on past Revenue Gap/ (Surplus) and justified the same by stating that recovery of such carrying cost is legitimate expenditure of the distribution companies. The carrying cost is allowed based on the financial principle that whenever the recovery of cost is deferred, the financing of the Gap in cash flow arranged by the distribution company from lenders/ promoters/ accruals is to be paid by way of carrying cost.

MUL has submitted that the projected revenue for FY 2022-23 at existing tariff, works out to Rs.296.98 Crore, against the projected ARR of Rs. 296.05 Crore. The consolidated Revenue Gap for FY 2020-21 is Rs. 4.74 Crore. MUL has considered Carrying Cost of Rs. 0.28 Crore on Revenue Gap of FY 2020-21 for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23, at weighted average SBI MCLR for FY 2020-21 of 7.07% in line with the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, to work out the Revenue Gap/(Surplus) for FY 2022-23. In view of the above, the Revenue Gap for FY 2022-23, considering consolidated Revenue Gap of FY 2020-21 along with Carrying Cost on Revenue Gap of FY 2020-21 for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23, is given in the Table below:

Table 5-33: Revenue Gap / (Surplus) with Existing Tariff as claimed for FY 2022-23 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Claimed
1	ARR for FY 2022-23	296.05
2	Add: Consolidated Revenue Gap for FY 2020-21	1.96
3	Add: Consolidated carrying cost for FY 2020-21	2.78
4	Add: Carrying Cost on revenue Gap of FY 2020-21 for FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23	0.28
5	Revenue from Existing Tariff for FY 2022-23	296.98
6	Revenue Gap / (Surplus) in FY 2022-23	4.10

Commission's analysis

It is observed from the above table that the Petitioner erroneously increased its cumulative carrying cost at the end of FY 2020-21 by carrying forward its carrying cost of the cumulative gap/surplus of the relevant financial year to the next financial year. It is quite pertinent to mention here that the Petitioner's claim to bear the



brunt of the carrying cost by paying compound interest is flawed as the Commission has already allowed interest in accordance with the GERC (MYT) Tariff Regulations, 2016. If the concept of allowing interest on interest is accepted, it would be endless. Further, Regulation 21.6 (c) of the GERC MYT Regulations, 2016 specifies that the carrying cost is computed on simple interest basis using the weighted average SBI MCLR for the relevant year. So therefore, there is no concept of compound interest dealing with various provisions related to interest calculations for carrying cost in the GERC MYT Regulations, 2016. Hence, the Commission follows the concept of simple interest without carrying the interest amount forward to the carrying cost calculations of subsequent financial years.

Further, as per the judgement of Hon'ble APTEL in Appeal No. 250 of 2015 in the matter of Jaigad Power Transco Ltd. (JPTL) Vs Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (MERC), regarding an issue of allowing compound interest on carrying cost, Hon'ble APTEL at Page No. 27 of the said judgement observed as follows:

"..

The State Commission has been following the concept of simple interest in its orders for the purpose of the calculation of the carrying cost. We tend to agree with the State Commission's view that there is no concept of compound interest in dealing with various provisions related to interest calculations in the Tariff Regulations, 2011. Thus, the principle applied by the State Commission in absence of specific provisions of interest rate of carrying cost is equitable and just and there is no need of interference by us on the same.

iv Hence this issue is also decided against the Appellant."

Furthermore, as per the clause 3(3)(c) of The Interest Act, 1978, it is not in the purview of the court to allow interest on interest. The relevant excerpt of the aforesaid Act is stipulated as under for the ready reference:

"3. Power of court to allow interest.

- (3) Nothing in this section, —
- (a) shall apply in relation to—
- (i) any debt or damages upon which interest is payable as of right, by virtue of any agreement; or



- (ii) any debt or damages upon which payment of interest is barred, by virtue of an express agreement;
- (b) shall affect—
- (i) the compensation recoverable for the dishonour of a bill of exchange, promissory note or cheque, as defined in the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (26 of 1881); or
- (ii) the provisions of rule 2 of Order II of the First Schedule to the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908);
- (c) shall empower the court to award interest upon interest."

It is important to mention here that one of the main objects of the Electricity Act 2003 is to balance the interest of all the stakeholders. It is the settled law that various judgements of Hon'ble APTEL and Hon'ble Supreme Court, that the Commission should always endeavour to balance the interest of the consumers on the one hand and the licensees on the other. Protecting the interest of consumers and rationalisation of electricity tariff are the main objects of the Electricity Act 2003, if interest upon interest allowed, it will not only be against the Regulations, the Interest Act 1978, and various judgements of the higher Courts, but also will be against one of the main objects of Electricity Act 2003, i.e., protecting the interest of consumers. In line with the above, the Commission considers it appropriate not to allow interest on carrying cost.

In continuation to above, it is quite pertinent to mention here that the Commission has already allowed the carrying cost of Rs. 2.78 Crore (Rs. 1.15 Crore for FY 2016-17 and Rs. 1.63 Crore on Revenue gap of FY 2018-19 for FY 2019-20 and FY 2020-21) on the past Gaps.

Therefore, the Commission has not allowed the interest on carrying cost which has already been allowed by the Commission through its various previous consequential orders and Tariff Order for FY 2020-21.

The Commission had approved a revenue gap of Rs. 2.10 Crore for FY 2020-21 which includes reclaim of Rs. 12.47 Crore as set out in table 4.49 in Chapter 4 of the Current Order. The total revenue gap of Rs. 2.10 Crore for FY 2020-21 has two components i.e.; (i) Rs. 2.78 Crore (Rs. 1.15 Crore and Rs. 1.63 Crore) on account of carrying cost on earlier recovery; (ii) Rs. (-) 0.68 Crore (Rs. 10.37 Crore Surplus for FY 2020-21 plus Rs. 9.69 Crore Gap for FY 2018-19) on net surplus for current



year including revenue towards earlier recovery. Therefore, the Commission has decided to allow holding cost only on the Rs. 0.68 Crore Net Surplus component and not on Rs. 2.78 Crore of carrying cost component.

The Petitioner anticipates carrying cost of Rs. 0.28 Crore on gap of Rs. 4.74 Crore for FY 2020-21, however, as explained above, the Commission has a net surplus of Rs. 0.68 Crore for allowing the holding cost of Rs. 0.10 Crore for FY 2020-21. Accordingly, the Commission computed the revenue (Gap)/Surplus for FY 2022-23 as given in the table below:

Table 5-34: Revenue Gap / (Surplus) with Existing Tariff as claimed for FY 2022-23 (Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	Projected	Allowed
1	ARR for FY 2022-23 [a]	296.05	287.80
2	Revenue from Existing Tariff for FY 2022-23 [b]	296.98	296.98
3	Revenue Gap / (Surplus) in FY 2022-23 [c=(a-b)]	-0.93	-9.17
4	Revenue Gap / (Surplus) in FY 2020-21 [d]	4.74	2.10
5	Add: Carrying cost on consolidated gap of FY 2020-21 for FY 2021-22& FY 2022-23[e]	0.28	-0.10
6	Consolidated Revenue Gap / (Surplus) in FY 2022-23 [f=(c+d+e)]	4.10	-7.17

Accordingly, as against the cumulative Revenue Gap of Rs. 4.10 Crore projected by MUL, the Commission has approved a cumulative Revenue Surplus of Rs. 7.17 Crore.



6. Compliance of Directives

6.1 Existing directives

The Commission had issued following directives in the Tariff Order dated 1.04.2021 in case no. 1924 of 2021 and its compliance as filed by the Petitioner is follows:

Directive No. 1: Interest Cost Reduction

The Commission has noted the submission. The Commission feels that MUL has incurred high-cost debt in the past and there is scope for improvement while raising debt for future period. MUL shall furnish report about the action taken and results thereof for any new debt raised.

Compliance submitted by MUL

The Petitioner has submitted that it has put in its best efforts and deliberated the matter with bank to reduce interest rate. However, the loan of the aforesaid portfolio has been re-paid at the end of FY 2019-20. The Petitioner further submits that MUL will furnish report for any new debt raised in future.

Commission View:

The Commission has noted the submission.

Directive 2: Implementation of Smart pre-payment meter/ pre-payment meters

The Commission refers to the Electricity (Rights of Consumers) Rules, 2020 notified on 31st December 2020 and as per this Rule, no connection shall be given without a meter and such meter shall be the Smart pre-payment or pre-payment meter. Further there is recent communication from Ministry of Power, Government of India seeking plans from the DISCOMs for preparation of scheme of switching over to smart pre-payment/ pre-payment meters in a time bound manner and avail funds from Government of India. The Petitioner is advised for necessary participation for the scheme which will help in improvement of metering, billing and collection.



Compliance submitted by MUL

The Petitioner having distribution license in Mundra SEZ which is under development stage and currently majority of consumers are at HT level. The Petitioner is under discussion with the service providers for implementation of Smart pre-payment meters in line with the Electricity Rule 2020. The Petitioner submits that it would explore SMRD implementation in upcoming years including switching over of existing customers to smart prepayment / pre-payment meters.

Commission View:

The response of the petitioner is noted. The Commission directs the Petitioner to expedite the process of installation of pre-paid smart meters and submit the proposed plan with tentative timelines with next tariff filing.

Directive 3: Charging Infrastructure for Electric Vehicles

The Commission refers to the Discussion paper on Cross Cutting Themes for Charging Infrastructure for Electric Vehicles issued by Ministry of Power on 17th March 2021. The Petitioner is suggested to explore the possibility for creation of such infrastructure in its area and may come up with a separate capital expenditure plan along with next Tariff Petition.

Compliance submitted by MUL

The Petitioner having distribution license in Mundra SEZ which is under development stage and currently, electrical vehicles could not be located in the Petitioner license area and therefore, if the Petitioner spends on EV charging infrastructure at this stage, the consumer will be put to financial burden unnecessarily. The Petitioner based on the discussion with the developer of the SEZ area envisages that there is a possibility of need for creation of EV infrastructure in the license area. Once, the plan is firmed up, the Petitioner will submit the details before the Hon'ble Commission for approval.

Commission View:

The Commission directs the Petitioner to submit the firmed plan with tentative timelines with next tariff filing.



Directive 4: Time of Use Charges

The Petitioner is directed to carry out a study to implement Time of Use Charges leviable during Peak Demand period and that leviable during off peak Demand Period. The Petitioner is directed to submit the study report along with next Petition.

Compliance submitted by MUL

The Petitioner humbly submits that the majority of the consumers are having steady load pattern. However, the Petitioner is in process to study implementation of TOU charges i.e., during Peak Demand period and during off Peak Demand period and will submit the report in next tariff petition.

Commission View:

The Commission has noted the submission.

Directive 5: Green Tariff

The Commission directs the Petitioner to analyse and prepare report on Introduction of Green Tariff for the consumers in the State of Gujarat who are willing to procure such power. The Petitioner to study Green Tariff implementation in other States and accordingly submit the report to the Commission along with next tariff petition including the cost, premium and other parameters.

Compliance submitted by MUL

The Petitioner has analyzed the directive issued by Hon'ble Commission for introduction of Green tariff for the consumers, who are willing to procure such power. The Petitioner submits that few Regulatory Commissions of states have already approved Green Tariff in generalized manner. The Petitioner would like to bring to the attention of the Commission that few upcoming large consumers have expressed their interest to procure 100% green power and the Petitioner is in discussion with them. It is further submitted that the generalized Green tariff mechanism implemented in other states, if applied in Petitioner license area for consumers opting for 100% Green power, may lead to under recovery of tariff from Green consumers, while it may lead to additional burden on other consumers. The Petitioner therefore submits to approach the Commission with separate tariff proposal for green power in due course of time.

Commission View:

The Commission has noted the Petitioner response.



7. Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

7.1 Fuel and Power Purchase Price Adjustment

The Commission, vide its Order in Case No. 1309/2013 and 1313/2013 dated 29.10.2013, has revised the formula for Fuel Price and Power Purchase Cost Adjustment (FPPPA) as mentioned below:

FPPPA = [(PPCA-PPCB)] / [100-Loss in %]

Where,

	is the average power purchase cost per unit of delivered energy (including
	transmission cost), computed based on the operational parameters
	approved by the Commission or principles laid down in the power
	purchase agreements in Rs/kWh for all the generation sources as
PPCA	approved by the Commission while determining ARR and who have
	supplied power in the given quarter and transmission charges as
	approved by the Commission for transmission network calculated as total
	power purchase cost billed in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of
	power purchase in Million Units made during the quarter.
	is the approved average base power purchase cost per unit of delivered
	energy (including transmission cost) for all the generating stations
	considered by the Commission for supplying power to the company in
PPCB	Rs/kWh and transmission charges as approved by the Commission
	calculated as the total power purchase cost approved by the Commission
	in Rs. Million divided by the total quantum of power purchase in Million
	Units considered by the Commission.
	is the weighted average of the approved level of Transmission and
	Distribution Losses (%) for the four DISCOMs / GUVNL and MUL
Loss	applicable for a particular quarter or actual weighted average in
in %	Transmission and Distribution Losses (%) for four DISCOMs / GUVNL
	and MUL of the previous year for which true-up have been done by the
	Commission, whichever is lower.



7.2 Base Price of Power Purchase (PPCB)

The Commission has approved the total energy requirement and the total power purchase cost for MUL including fixed cost, variable cost, etc. from the various sources for FY 2022-23 in this Order, as given in the Table below:

Table 7-1: Energy Requirement and Power Purchase Cost approved by the Commission for FY 2022-23

Year	Total Energy Requirement (MUs)	Approved Power Purchase Cost (Rs Crore)	Power Purchase Cost per unit (Rs/kWh)
FY 2022-23	592.16	262.95	4.44

As mentioned above the base Power Purchase cost for MUL is **Rs. 4.44 per kWh** and the base FPPPA charge is NIL.

MUL may claim difference between actual power purchase cost and base power purchase cost approved in the Table above as per the approved FPPPA formula mentioned above.

Information regarding FPPPA recovery and the FPPPA calculations shall be kept on the website of MUL.

For any increase in FPPPA, worked out on the basis of above formula, beyond ten (10) Paise per kWh in a quarter, prior approval of the Commission shall be necessary and only on approval of such additional increase by the Commission, the FPPPA can be billed to consumers.

FPPPA calculations shall be submitted to the Commission within one month from end of the relevant quarter.



8. Wheeling Charges and Cross Subsidy Surcharge

8.1 Wheeling Charges

Regulation 91 of the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016, stipulates that the Commission shall specify the wheeling charges of distribution wires business of the distribution licensee in its ARR and Tariff Order. Accordingly, the Commission has reviewed submission of MUL in this regard and accordingly determined the wheeling charges at HT and LT levels, for long term (LT), medium term (MT) and short term (ST) open access consumers.

Petitioner's submission

MUL has allocated the total ARR expenditure of MUL to wire and retail supply business considering the following allocation matrix:

Table 8-1: Allocation Matrix for segregation to Wires and Retail Supply Business claimed for FY 2022-23

(%)

No.	Particulars	Wires	Retail Supply
NO.	r ai ticulai s	Business	Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	0	100
2	Intra-State Transmission Charges	0	100
3	Employee Expenses	60	40
4	Administration and General Expenses	50	50
5	Repairs and Maintenance Expenses	90	10
6	Depreciation	90	10
7	Interest on Long Term Loan Capital	90	10
8	Interest on Working Capital and Consumer	10	90
O	Security Deposit	10	90
9	Bad Debt Written Off	0	100
10	Income Tax	90	10
11	Contribution to Contingency Reserve	100	0
12	Return on Equity	90	10
13	Non-Tariff Income	10	90

On the basis of the above allocation matrix, MUL segregated total ARR of MUL supply area into ARR for wire and retail supply business as shown below:



Table 8-2: Allocation Matrix for segregation to Wires and Retail Supply Business claimed for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

No.	Particulars	Wires Business	Retail Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	0.00	265.20
2	Intra-State Transmission Charges	0.00	0.00
3	Employee Expenses	1.98	1.32
4	Administration and General Expenses	3.20	3.20
5	Repairs and Maintenance Expenses	1.55	0.17
6	Depreciation	4.47	0.50
7	Interest on Long Term Loan Capital	3.20	0.36
8	Interest on Working Capital and Consumer	0.24	2.16
9	Security Deposit	0.01	0.08
10	Bad Debt Written Off	0.00	0.00
11	Income Tax	3.83	0.43
12	Contribution to Contingency Reserve	0.00	0.00
13	Return on Equity	3.76	0.42
14	Non-Tariff Income	0.00	0.00
	ARR	22.23	273.82

a. ARR of Wire Business: Rs. 22.23 Crore

b. ARR of Retail Supply Business: Rs. 273.82 Crore

The above segregated ARR has been considered to determine the Wheeling Charges.

Commission's analysis

The Commission, in order to compute the wheeling charges and cross subsidy surcharge, has considered the allocation matrix for allocation of the costs between the wires and retail supply business as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016. The allocation matrix and the basis of allocation of various cost components of the ARR as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 are shown below:

Table 8-3: Allocation Matrix for segregation to Wires and Retail Supply Business as per the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016

(%)

No.	Particulars	Wires Business	Retail Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	0	100
2	Intra-State Transmission Charges	0	100
3	Employee Expenses	60	40
4	Administration and General Expenses	50	50



No.	Particulars	Wires Business	Retail Supply Business
5	Repairs and Maintenance Expenses	90	10
6	Depreciation	90	10
7	Interest on Long Term Loan Capital	90	10
8	Interest on Working Capital and Consumer	10	90
	Security Deposit		30
9	Bad Debt Written Off	0	100
10	Income Tax	90	10
11	Contribution to Contingency Reserve	100	0
12	Return on Equity	90	10
13	Non-Tariff Income	10	90

Based on the above allocation, the approved ARR for wires business and retail supply business are computed as shown below.

Table 8-4: Allocation Matrix for segregation to Wires and Retail Supply Business approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs. Crore)

No.	Particulars	Wires Business	Retail Supply Business
1	Power Purchase Expenses	0.00	262.95
2	Intra-State Transmission Charges	0.00	0.00
3	Employee Expenses	1.96	1.31
4	Administration and General Expenses	3.20	3.20
5	Repairs and Maintenance Expenses	1.55	0.17
6	Depreciation	4.47	0.50
7	Interest on Long Term Loan Capital	1.77	0.20
8	Interest on Working Capital and Consumer	0.23	2.08
9	Security Deposit	0.01	0.08
10	Bad Debt Written Off	0.00	0.00
11	Income Tax	3.13	0.35
12	Contribution to Contingency Reserve	0.00	0.00
13	Return on Equity	3.76	0.42
14	Non-Tariff Income	0.35	3.16
	ARR	19.72	268.08

8.2 Determination of Wheeling Charges

Petitioner's submission

The Petitioner submitted that Distribution Wires are identified as carrier of electricity from generating station or transmission network to consumer point. The consumption at a particular voltage level requires network at that voltage level and also at all higher voltage levels. Thus, consumption at the lower voltages should contribute to



the cost of the higher voltage levels also. However, the consumers connected to the higher voltages would not be utilizing the services of the lower voltage level and hence, would not be required to contribute to the recovery of cost of lower voltage level.

Based on the approach discussed above, the ARR for the wheeling business is apportioned to the HT and LT voltage in two steps as described below:

- a) Apportioning the ARR of wheeling business to HT and LT voltage level;
- b) Apportioning the ARR of the HT voltage level again between HT & LT voltage level The GFA of the Petitioner at the end of year FY 2020-21 is Rs. 114.53 Crore. The Petitioner has segregated GFA of FY 2020-21 among HT level (11 kV and above) and LT Voltage level to arrive voltage level wise Wheeling charges.

The consumer's demand & consumption is more at 11 kV and above, while there are very few at LT level in the license area of Petitioner. Hence, the GFA segregated at 11 kV and above is 98.9%, whereas it is only 1.10 % at LT Level which is shown in the below table:

Table 8-5: Voltage level wise GFA Ratio

Particular	GFA (Rs. In Crore)	GFA (%)
HT level (11 KV & Above)	113.23	98.86%
LT level	1.31	1.14%
Total	114.53	100.00%

Further, the Petitioner submitted that as the HT level assets cater to the requirement of customers at both HT and LT levels, the ARR for HT is again apportioned between HT and LT voltage based on their ratio of contribution to the peak demand.

The Petitioner submitted that expected system peak demand for it's Supply Area for the year FY 2022-23 is 136.35 MVA. The contract demand of HT and LT consumers is 133.65 MVA and 2.70 MVA, respectively for the year 2022-23. Hence, 98% of the contract demand of HT consumers contributes to the system peak demand.

Table 8-6: Peak Demand contribution

Particular	Peak Demand (MVA)	Peak Demand (%)
HT level (11 KV & Above)	136.35	100%
LT level	133.65	98%
Total	2.70	2%



To determine the wheeling charges for the HT & LT voltage levels, the ARR of the respective voltage level is divided by the peak demand of the respective voltage level. Accordingly, the wheeling charge determined has been tabulated below:

Table 8-7: Wheeling Charges as claimed for FY 2022-23

Particulars		
First Level Segregation of ARR in Rs. Crore		
HT Voltage	21.79	
LT Voltage	0.44	
Total	22.23	
Second Level Segregation of ARR in Rs. Crore		
HT Voltage	21.36	
LT Voltage	0.87	
Total	22.23	
Wheeling Charges in Rs. / kWh		
HT Voltage	0.38	
LT Voltage	2.45	

MUL has further stated that an Open Access consumer will also have to bear the following Wheeling Losses in kind in addition to the Wheeling Charges as mentioned above.

Table 8-8: Wheeling Losses as claimed for FY 2022-23

(%)

Particulars	Wheeling Losses
HT Category	3.00%
LT Category	7.00%

Commission's analysis

The Commission has determined the ARR of the Wires Business for FY 2021-22 in earlier Section, as Rs. 19.72 Crore. The ARR is first apportioned between the HT and LT Voltage level in the ratio of 98.86:1.14, based on the respective contract demands. MUL has submitted that HT consumers contribute to 98% of the system peak demand, hence, the HT ARR is further apportioned in the ratio of 98:2. To determine the Wheeling Charges for the HT and LT voltage levels, the ARR of the respective voltage level is divided by the sales handled at the respective voltage level. Based on the above, the wheeling charges are approved as given in the Table below:



Table 8-9: Wheeling Charges as approved for FY 2022-23

Particulars Particulars		
First Level Segregation of ARR in Rs. Crore		
HT Voltage	19.50	
LT Voltage	0.22	
Total	19.72	
Second Level Segregation of ARR in Rs. Crore		
HT Voltage	19.11	
LT Voltage	0.61	
Total	19.72	
Wheeling Charges in Rs. / kWh		
HT Voltage		
LT Voltage		

The Open Access consumer will also have to bear the following Losses in addition to the wheeling charges.

Table 8-10: Wheeling Losses of FY 2022-23 as approved by the Commission

(%)

Particulars	Wheeling Losses
HT Category	3.00%
LT Category	7.00%

8.3 Cross Subsidy Surcharge

Petitioner's submission

MUL has submitted that it has computed the cross subsidy surcharge based on the formula used by the Commission in its Order dated 30.07.2019, as shown below:

$$S = T - \{C / (1 - L/100) + D + R\}$$

Where:

S is the Surcharge

T is the tariff payable by the relevant category of consumers, including reflecting the Renewable Purchase Obligation;

C is the per unit weighted average cost of power purchase by the Licensee, including meeting the Renewable Purchase Obligation;

L is the aggregate of transmission, distribution and commercial losses, expressed as a percentage applicable to the relevant voltage level;



D is the aggregate of transmission, distribution and wheeling charge applicable to the relevant voltage level;

R is the per unit cost of carrying regulatory asset:

The cross-subsidy charges based on the above formula is worked out as shown in the Table below:

Table 8-11: Cross subsidy surcharge claimed for FY 2022-23

(Rs./kWh)

No.	Particulars	HT Category
1	T – Tariff for HT category	5.29
2	C – Wt. Average cost of power Purchase	4.46
3	D - Wheeling charges for HT category	0.38
4	L – Loss for HT category (%)	3.00%
5	S = Cross subsidy surcharge	0.31

Commission's analysis

The APTEL in its judgement on the issue of formula for calculation of Cross-subsidy has endorsed the use of the formula depicted in the Tariff Policy. The Central Government has issued the National Tariff Policy, 2016. According to this policy, the formula for Cross Subsidy Surcharge is as under:

$$S = T - [C / (1 - L/100) + D + R]$$

Where,

S is the surcharge

T is the tariff payable by the relevant category of consumers, including reflecting the Renewable Purchase Obligation

C is the per unit weighted average cost of power purchase by the Licensee, including meeting the Renewable Purchase Obligation

D is the aggregate of transmission, distribution and wheeling charge applicable to the relevant voltage level

L is the aggregate of transmission, distribution and commercial Losses, expressed as a percentage applicable to the relevant voltage level

R is the per unit cost of carrying regulatory assets

The cross subsidy surcharge based on the above formula is worked out as shown in the Table below:



Table 8-12: Cross Subsidy Surcharge approved for FY 2022-23

(Rs./kWh)

No.	Particulars	HT Category
1	T – Tariff for HT category	5.19
2	C – Wt. Average cost of power Purchase	4.44
3	D - Wheeling charges for HT category	0.34
4	L – Aggregate T&D Loss (%)	3.00%
5	S = Cross subsidy surcharge	0.27

$$S = 5.19 - [4.44/(1-3\%) + 0.34 + 0.00]$$

= 0.27 Rs/kWh

Thus, Cross Subsidy Surcharge as per Tariff Policy, 2016 works out to Rs. 0.27/unit.



9. Tariff Philosophy and Tariff Proposals

9.1 Introduction

The Commission is guided by the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003, the National Electricity Policy, the Tariff Policy, the Regulations on Terms and Conditions of Tariff issued by the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC) and the GERC (MYT) Regulations, 2016 notified by the Commission.

Section 61 of the Act lays down the broad principles and guidelines for determination of retail supply tariff. The basic principle is to ensure that the tariff should progressively reflect the cost of supply of electricity and reduce the cross subsidy amongst categories within a period to be specified by the Commission.

This Chapter discusses MUL's tariff proposal and changes suggested in tariff structure and provides the Commission's final decision on the same.

9.2 MUL's Tariff Proposal

MUL submitted that the projected Revenue Gap for FY 2022-23 with existing tariff for projected sales of 561.69 MUs after adjustment of consolidated Revenue Gap of FY 2020-21 including carrying cost would be Rs. 4.10 Crore. The Petitioner thus proposes to continue with the existing tariffs.

9.3 Commission's analysis

As discussed in the previous sections, the Commission has approved a cumulative Revenue Surplus of Rs. 7.17 Crore at existing tariff. Thus, the Commission has approved a decrease in energy charges for all major consumer categories by Rs. 0.10/Unit for FY 2022-23. The actual surplus/Gap will be considered at the time of true-up for FY 2022-23.



COMMISSION'S ORDER

The Commission approves the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for MPSEZ Utilities Ltd. (MUL) for FY 2022-23, as shown in the Table below:

(Rs. Crore)

Sr. No.	Particulars	FY 2022-23
1	Power Purchase Expenses	262.95
2	Operation & Maintenance Expenses	11.38
3	Depreciation	4.96
4	Interest & Finance charges	1.96
5	Interest on Security Deposit	0.09
6	Interest on Working Capital	2.31
7	Bad debts Written Off	0.00
8	Contribution to Contingency Reserves	0.00
9	Total Revenue expenditure	283.66
10	Return on Equity Capital	4.18
11	Income Tax	3.48
12	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	291.32
13	Less: Non-Tariff Income	3.51
14	Aggregate Revenue Requirement	287.80

The retail supply tariffs for MUL for FY 2022-23 determined by the Commission are annexed to this Order. This Order shall come into force with effect from 1st April, 2022. The rate shall be applicable for the electricity consumption from 1st April, 2022 onwards.

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
S. R. Pandey	Mehul M. Gandhi	Anil G. Mukim
Member	Member	Chairman

Place: Gandhinagar Date: 31/03/2022



ANNEXURE: TARIFF SCHEDULE

Tariff Schedule for license area of MPSEZ Utilities Ltd. (MUL)

Effective from 1st April, 2022

General Conditions

- This tariff schedule is applicable to all the consumers of MUL in License area of Mundra SEZ.
- 2. All these tariffs for power supply are applicable to only one point of supply.
- 3. The energy bills shall be paid by the consumer within 10 days from the date of billing, failing which the consumer shall be liable to pay the delayed payment charges @15% p.a. for the number of days from the due date of bill to the date of payment of bill.
- 4. The power supplied to any consumer shall be utilized only for the purpose for which supply is taken and as provided for in the tariff.
- 5. The various provisions of the GERC (Licensee's power to recover expenditure incurred in providing supply and other miscellaneous charges) Regulations, 2005 except Meter Charges, will continue to apply.
- 6. The charges specified in the tariff are on monthly basis, MUL shall adjust the rates according to billing period applicable to consumer.
- 7. Conversion of Ratings of electrical appliances and equipment from kilowatt to B.H.P. or vice versa will be done, when necessary, at the rate of 0.746 kilowatt equal to 1 B.H.P.
- 8. The billing of fixed charges based on contracted load or maximum demand shall be done in multiples of 0.5 (one half) Horse Power or kilo -Watt (HP or kW) as the case may be.
- 9. The fraction of less than 0.5 shall be rounded to next 0.5. The billing of energy charges will be done on complete one kilo-watt-hour (kWh).
- 10. The Connected Load for the purpose of billing will be taken as the maximum load connected during the billing period.



- 11. Contract Demand shall mean the maximum KVA for the supply which MUL undertakes to provide facilities to the consumer from time to time.
- 12. For computation of Fixed Charges, they will be computed on 85 % of Contract Demand at Unity Power Factor or Actual whichever is higher on monthly basis.
- 13. Maximum Demand in a month means the highest value of average KVA delivered at the point of supply of the consumer during any consecutive 15/30 minutes in the said month.
- 14. Payment of penal charges for usage in excess of contract demand/load for any billing period does not entitle the consumer to draw in excess of contract demand/load as a matter of right. The levy of penal charge is in addition to other rights of MPSEZ Utilities Limited under the provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 and Regulations notified there under.
- 15. The Fixed Charges, Minimum Charges, Demand Charges and the slabs of consumption of energy for Energy Charges mentioned shall not be subject to any adjustment on account of existence of any broken period within Billing Period arising from consumer supply being connected or disconnected any time within the duration of Billing Period for any reason.
- 16. The fuel cost and power purchase price adjustment charges shall be applicable in accordance with the formula approved by the Gujarat Electricity Regulatory Commission from time to time.
- 17. These rates are exclusive of Electricity Duty, Tax on sale of electricity, Customs Duty, Taxes and other charges levied / may be levied or such other taxes as may be levied by the Government or other Competent Authorities on bulk / retail supplies from time to time in which are payable by consumers, in addition to the charges levied as per the tariff.
- 18. The payment of power factor penalty does not exempt the consumer from taking steps to improve the power factor to the levels specified in the Regulations notified under the Electricity Act, 2003 and MUL shall be entitled to take any other action deemed necessary and authorized under the Act.



PART-I

SUPPLY DELIVERED AT LOW OR MEDIUM VOLTAGE (230 VOLTS- SINGLE PHASE, 400 VOLTS- THREE PHASE, 50 HERTZ)

1. RATE: Residential

This tariff is applicable to services for lights, fans and domestic appliances for heating, cooling, cooking, cleaning and refrigeration purposes, general load and motive power in residential premises.

1.1. FIXED CHARGE

(a)	Single Phase Supply	Rs. 30 per month per installation
(b)	Three Phase Supply	Rs. 45 per month per installation

For BPL household consumers*

Fixed Charges	Rs. 5 per month per installation
---------------	----------------------------------

1.2. ENERGY CHARGE

(i)	First 250 units consumed per month	320 Paisa per Unit
(ii)	Remaining units consumed per month	370 Paisa per Unit

For BPL household consumers*

(a)	First 50 units	150 Paise per Unit
(b)	For remaining units	Rates as per RGP

^{*}The consumer who wants to avail the benefit of the above tariff has to produce a copy of the Card issued by the authority concerned at the zonal office of the Distribution Licensee. The concessional tariff is only for 50 units per month.

1.3. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 1.1 above.

2. RATE: Commercial (Non Demand)



This tariff is applicable to services for lights, fans and appliances for heating, cooling cooking, cleaning and refrigeration purposes, general load and motive power in premises other than those requiring the power supply for the purposes not specified in any other LT categories, up to 6 kVA of connected load.

2.1. FIXED CHARGE

Single Phase Supply	Rs. 100 per month per installation
---------------------	------------------------------------

2.2. ENERGY CHARGE

(i)	First 150 units consumed per month	370 Paisa per Unit
(ii)	Remaining units consumed per month	395 Paisa per Unit

2.3. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 2.1 above.

3. RATE: Commercial (Demand)

This tariff is applicable to lights, fans and appliances for heating, cooling, cooking, cleaning and refrigeration purposes, general load and motive power in premises other than those requiring the power supply for the purposes not specified in any other LT categories, having connected load of 6 kVA and above.

3.1. FIXED CHARGE

A) For Billing Demand up to and including the Contract Demand

Computed on 85 % of Contract Demand at u.p.f. or Actual	
maximum demand at monthly average power factor or six	75 Paisa per
KVA at u.p.f. whichever is higher on monthly basis at 100 %	Unit
Load Factor	

B) For Billing Demand in excess of the Contract Demand

Computed on billing demand in excess of Contract	125 Paisa per Unit
Demand on Monthly basis at 100 % Load Factor	125 Paisa per Utili

NOTE: The Billing Demand shall be highest of the following:



- i. Actual Maximum Demand established during the month OR
- ii. Eighty five percent of the Contract Demand OR
- iii. Six kVA

3.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	270 Paisa per Unit
----------------	--------------------

3.3. POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGE

A) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing period exceeds 90%

For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor from 90%	Rebate of
to 95%	0.15 Paisa per Unit
For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor	Rebate of
above 95%	0.27 Paisa per Unit

B) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing period is below 90%

For each 1% decrease in the Power Factor below 90%	Penalty of 3.00 Paisa
	per Unit

3.4. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 3.1 above.

4. RATE: Industrial (Non Demand)

This tariff is applicable up to 6 kVA of connected load in industrial premises (as defined under the Bombay Electricity Duty Act, 1958).

4.1. FIXED CHARGE

Single Phase Supply	Rs. 100 per Month per installation
---------------------	------------------------------------

4.2. ENERGY CHARGE

(i)	First 150 units consumed per month	345 Paisa per Unit
(ii)	Remaining units consumed per month	370 Paisa per Unit



4.3. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 4.1 above.

5. RATE: Industrial (Demand)

This tariff is applicable to 6 KVA and above of connected load in industrial premises (as defined under the Bombay Electricity Duty Act, 1958), water works and pumping services operated by Local Authorities.

5.1 FIXED CHARGE

A) For Billing Demand up to and including the Contract Demand

Computed on 85 % of Contact Demand at u.p.f. or Actual	
maximum demand at monthly average power factor or six	75 Paisa per Unit
KVA at u.p.f. whichever is higher on monthly basis at 100 %	
Load Factor	

B) For Billing Demand in excess of the Contract Demand

Computed on billing demand in excess of Contract	125 Paisa per
Demand on Monthly basis at 100 % Load Factor	Unit

NOTE: The Billing Demand shall be highest of the following:

- i. Actual Maximum Demand established during the month OR
- ii. Eighty five percent of the Contract Demand OR
- iii. Six kVA

5.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	270 Paisa per Unit
----------------	--------------------

5.3. POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGE

A) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing period exceeds 90%

For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor from	Rebate of
90% to 95%	0.15 Paisa per Unit
For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor above	Rebate of



B) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing period is below 90%

For each 1% decrease in the Power Factor below 90%	Penalty of
	3.00 Paisa per Unit

5.4. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 5.1 above.

6. RATE: Street Lights

Applicable to lighting systems for illumination of public roads.

6.1. ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	320 Paise per Unit
----------------	--------------------

7. RATE: Temporary

This tariff is applicable to installations for temporary requirement of electricity supply. A Consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

7.1 FIXED CHARGE

A) For Billing Demand up to and including the Contract Demand

Computed on 85 % of Contact Demand at u.p.f. or Actual	
maximum demand at monthly average power factor	75 Paise per Unit
whichever is higher on monthly basis at 100 % Load Factor	

B) For Billing Demand in excess of the Contract Demand

Computed on billing demand in excess of Contract	125 Paise per Unit
Demand on Monthly basis at 100 % Load Factor	

NOTE: The Billing Demand shall be highest of the following:

i. Actual Maximum Demand established during the month OR



ii. Eighty – five percent of the Contract Demand.

7.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	345 Paise per unit
----------------	--------------------

7.3 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGE

A) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing Period exceeds 90%

For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor from 90%	Rebate of
to 95%	0.15 Paise per Unit
For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor above	Rebate of
95%	0.27 Paise per Unit

B) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing Period is below 90%

For each 19/ degrees in the Dower Factor helay 009/	Penalty of
For each 1% decrease in the Power Factor below 90%	3.00 Paise per Unit

7.4. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 7.1 above.

8. RATE: LT - Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Stations

This tariff is applicable to consumers who use electricity **EXCLUSIVELY** for electric vehicle charging installations.

Other consumers can use their regular electricity supply for charging electric vehicle under same regular category i.e. Residential, Commercial, Industrial, etc.

8.1 FIXED CHARGE

Rs. 25 per month per installation	
-----------------------------------	--

PLUS

8.2 ENERGY CHARGE

Energy Charge	315 Paise per Unit
Energy Charge	0101 dise per offic



PART-II

SUPPLY DELIVERED AT HIGH VOLTAGE (11000 VOLTS AND ABOVE - THREE PHASE, 50 HERTZ)

9. RATE: HTMD - 1

This tariff is applicable for supply of energy to High Tension consumers contracting for maximum demand of 100 kVA and above for regular power supply and requiring the power supply for the purposes not specified in any other HT categories.

9.1 FIXED CHARGE

- A) For the Billing Demand of customer having
- a. Contract demand up to 500 kVA

Computed on 85 % of contract demand at u.p.f or actual maximum
demand at monthly average power factor or one hundred kVA at
u.p.f. whichever is higher on monthly basis at 100 % Load Factor

75 Paise per Unit

b. Contract demand above 500 kVA

Computed on 85 % of contract demand at u.p.f or actual maximum
demand at monthly average power factor whichever is higher on
monthly basis at 100% load factor

110 Paise per Unit

- B) For Billing Demand in excess of the Contract Demand
- a. Contract demand up to 500 kVA

Computed on billing demand in excess of Contract Demand on	125 Paise
Monthly basis at 100 % Load Factor	per Unit

b. Contract demand above 500 kVA

Computed on billing demand in excess of Contract Demand on	150 Paise
Monthly basis at 100 % Load Factor	per Unit

NOTE: The Billing Demand shall be highest of the following:

- i. Actual Maximum Demand established during the month OR
- ii. Eighty five percent of the Contract Demand OR
- iii. One hundred kVA.



9.2 ENERGY CHARGE

For entire consumption during the month		
Up to 500 kVA of the contract demand	310 Paise per unit	
Above 500 kVA of the contract demand	350 Paise per unit	

9.3 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGE

A) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing Period exceeds 90%

For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor from 90% to 95%	Rebate of 0.15 Paise per Unit
For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor above 95%	Rebate of 0.27 Paise per Unit

B) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing Period is below 90%

For each 1% decrease in the Power Factor below 90%	Penalty of	
	3.00 Paise per Unit	

9.4 REBATE FOR SUPPLY AT EHV

SI. No.	On Energy Charges	Rebate @
1	If supply is availed at 11 KV	0.0%
2	If supply is availed at 33 KV	1.0%
3	If supply is availed at 66 KV and above	2.0%

9.5. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 9.1 above.

10. RATE: HTMD -II

This tariff is applicable for supply of energy to High Tension consumers contracting for maximum demand of 100 kVA and above for temporary period.

A Consumer not taking supply on regular basis under a proper agreement shall be deemed to be taking supply for temporary period.

10.1 FIXED CHARGE



A) For Billing Demand up to and including the Contract Demand

Computed on 85 % of Contact Demand at u.p.f or Actual	
maximum demand at monthly average power factor	100 Paise per Unit
whichever is higher on monthly basis or one hundred	
kVA	

B) For Billing Demand in excess of the Contract Demand

Computed on billing demand in excess of Contract	150 Paise per Unit
Demand on Monthly basis at 100 % Load Factor	150 Faise per Offit

NOTE: The Billing Demand shall be highest of the following:

- i. Actual Maximum Demand established during the month OR
- ii. Eighty five percent of the Contract Demand OR
- iii. One hundred kVA

10.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	445 Paise per unit
----------------	--------------------

10.3 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGE

A) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing Period exceeds 90%

For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor from 90% to 95%	Rebate of 0.15 Paise per Unit
For each 1% improvement in the Power Factor above 95%	Rebate of 0.27 Paise per Unit

B) Where the average Power Factor during the Billing Period is below 90%

For each 1% decrease in the Power Factor below 90%	Penalty of 3.00 Paise per Unit
--	--------------------------------

10.4 REBATE FOR SUPPLY AT EHV

SI. No.	On Energy Charges	Rebate @
1	If supply is availed at 11 KV	0.0%
2	If supply is availed at 33 KV	1.0%



SI. No.	On Energy Charges	Rebate @
3	If supply is availed at 66 KV and above	2.0%

10.5. MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 10.1 above.

11. RATE: HTMD - III

This tariff is applicable for supply of energy to High Tension consumers, contracting for maximum demand of 100 kVA and above, for residential purposes and availing supply at single point by a Co-operative Group Housing Society for making electricity available to the members of Co-operative Society in the same premises.

11.1 FIXED CHARGE

A) For billing demand up to and including the contract demand

Computed on 85 % of contract demand at u.p.f and 100 %	
load factor or actual maximum demand at monthly average	75 Paise per Unit
power factor whichever is higher on monthly basis or one	731 also per offic
hundred kVA	

B) For billing demand in excess of the contract demand

Computed on billing demand in excess of contract demand	125 Paise ner Unit
on monthly basis at 100% Load Factor	123 i aise pei onit

NOTE: The billing demand shall be highest of the following:

- i. Actual maximum demand at monthly average p.f. established during the month OR
- ii. Eighty five percent of the contract demand at u.p.f OR
- iii. One hundred kVA at u.p.f.

11.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	270 Paise per unit
----------------	--------------------



11.3 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGE

A) Where the average power factor during the Billing Period exceeds 90%

For each 1% improvement in the power factor from 90% to 95%	Rebate Unit	of	0.15	Paise	per
For each 1% improvement in the power factor above 95%	Rebate Unit	of	0.27	Paise	per

B) Where the average power factor during the Billing Period is below 90%

For each 1% decrease in the Power Factor below	Penalty	of	3.00	Paise	per
90%	Unit				

11.4 Rebate for Supply at EHV

	On Energy Charges	Rebate @
1	If supply is availed at 11 kV	0.0 %
2	If supply is availed at 33 kV	1.0 %
3	If supply is availed at 66 kV and above	2.0 %

Note: The above rebate will be applicable only on monthly basis and consumer with arrears shall not be eligible for the above rate. However, the applicable rebates shall be allowed to consumers with outstanding dues, wherein such dues have been stayed by the appropriate authority/Courts.

11.5 MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 11.1 above.

12. <u>RATE: HTMD - IV</u>

This tariff shall be applicable for supply of energy to HT consumers contracting for 100 kVA and above, requiring power supply for Water Works and Sewerage pumping stations run by Local Authorities / Developer / Co-developer.



12.1 FIXED CHARGE

A) For billing demand up to and including the contract demand

Computed on 85 % of contract demand at u.p.f and 100 %	
load factor or actual maximum demand at monthly average	75 Paice per Unit
power factor whichever is higher on monthly basis or one	75 Paise per Utili
hundred kVA	

B) For billing demand in excess of the contract demand

Computed on billing demand in excess of contract demand	125 Paise per Unit
on monthly basis at 100% Load Factor	125 Paise per Offic

NOTE: The billing demand shall be highest of the following:

- i. Actual maximum demand at monthly average p.f. established during the month OR
- ii. Eighty five percent of the contract demand at u.p.f OR
- iii. One hundred kVA at u.p.f.

12.2 ENERGY CHARGE

A flat rate of	270 Paise per unit
----------------	--------------------

12.3 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGE

A) Where the average power factor during the Billing Period exceeds 90%

For each 1% improvement in the power factor from 90% to 95%	Rebate of 0.15 Paise per Unit
For each 1% improvement in the power factor above 95%	Rebate of 0.27 Paise per Unit

B) Where the average power factor during the Billing Period is below 90%

For each 1% decrease in the Power Factor below	Penalty	of	3.00	Paise	per	
90%	Unit					



12.4 Rebate for Supply at EHV

On E	Energy Charges	Rebate @
1	If supply is availed at 11 kV	0.0 %
2	If supply is availed at 33 kV	1.0 %
3	If supply is availed at 66 kV and above	2.0 %

Note: The above rebate will be applicable only on monthly basis and consumer with arrears shall not be eligible for the above rate. However, the applicable rebates shall be allowed to consumers with outstanding dues, wherein such dues have been stayed by the appropriate authority/Courts.

12.5 MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 12.1 above.

13. RATE: HT - Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Stations

This tariff is applicable to consumers who use electricity **EXCLUSIVELY** for electric vehicle charging installations.

Other consumers can use their regular electricity supply for charging electric vehicle under same regular category i.e. HTMD-I, HTMD-II, HTMD-III & HTMD-IV.

13.1 FIXED CHARGE

For billing demand up to contract demand	Rs. 25 per kVA per month
For billing demand in excess of contract demand	Rs. 50 per kVA per month

PLUS

13.2 ENERGY CHARGE

Energy Charge	310 Paise per Unit
---------------	--------------------



14 RATE: Railway Traction

This tariff shall be applicable for supply to Railway Traction at 66 kV and above.

14.1 FIXED CHARGE

A) For billing demand up to and including the contract demand

For billing demand up to and	including the contract	Rs. 180 per kVA per
demand		month

B) For billing demand in excess of the contract demand

For billing demand in excess of contract	Rs. 425 per kVA per
demand	month

Note: In case of load transfer for traction supply due to non-availability of Power supply at preceding or succeeding point of supply or maintenance at Discom's level, excess demand over the contract demand shall be charged at normal rate at appropriate point of supply.

Normal Demand Charges will also apply in case of bunching of trains. However, discoms shall charge excess demand charges while raising the bills and Railway have to give convincing details and documentary proof of bunching of trains if they want to be charged at the normal demand charges. If satisfactory proof of bunching of trains of provided, Discom shall consider that occasion for normal demand charges, otherwise excess demand charges will be applicable specified as above at 14.1 (B).

14.2 ENERGY CHARGE

For all unit consumed during the	490 Paise

14.3 POWER FACTOR ADJUSTMENT CHARGE

A) Where the average power factor during the Billing Period exceeds 90%

For each 1% improvement in the power factor from 90% to 95%	Rebate of 0.15 Paise per Unit
For each 1% improvement in the power factor above 95%	Rebate of 0.27 Paise per Unit



B) Where the average power factor during the Billing Period is below 90%

For each 1% decrease in the Power Factor below	Penalty of 3.00 Paise per
90%	Unit

14.4 Rebate for Supply at EHV

On	Energy Charges	Reb
1	If supply is availed at 11 kV	0.0 %
2	If supply is availed at 33 kV	1.0 %
3	If supply is availed at 66 kV and above	2.0 %

Note: The above rebate will be applicable only on monthly basis and consumer with arrears shall not be eligible for the above rate. However, the applicable rebates shall be allowed to consumers with outstanding dues, wherein such dues have been stayed by the appropriate authority/Courts.

14.5 MINIMUM BILL

Payment of fixed charges as specified in 14.1 above.

