BEFORE THE GUJARAT ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

GANDHINAGAR

Petition No. 2554 of 2025.

In the matter of:

Petition under the Regulations 28, 29, 30 and 31 of the GERC (Terms and Conditions for Green Energy Open Access) Regulations, 2024 read with provisions of Electricity Act, 2003. By way of preferring the present Petition, the Applicant prays before the Commission to amend/ clarify/ define Regulation 17.6 (vi) of the GERC (Terms and Conditions for Green Energy Open Access) Regulations, 2024 which provides 'permitted quantum of banked energy by the green energy open access consumers to the extent of at least 30% of total consumption of electricity from the distribution licensee by the consumers during the billing period', but the same does not provide for maximum upper limit, up to which the quantum of banked energy can be permitted.

Petitioner	:	Vishal Foundry Village-Ravki, Taluka-Lodhika District: Rajkot - 360004.
Represented by	:	Ld. Adv. Mr. Naman Kinkhabwala.
		V/s.
Respondent No. 1	:	Energy & Petrochemicals Department Government of Gujarat 5th Floor, Block No. 5 Sector 10, Sachivalaya, Gandhinagar.
Represented by	:	Nobody was present.
Respondent No. 2	:	Gujarat Energy Development Agency 4th Floor, Block No. 11- 12 Udyog Bhavan, Gandhinagar.
Represented by	:	Ld. Adv. Ms. Khyati Chugh along with

Ms. Shruti Umesh.

Respondent No. 3	:	Gujarat Urja Vikas Nigam Limited Sardar Patel Vidyut Bhavan, Race Course Circle, Vadodara- 390007.
Represented by	:	Ld. Adv. Ms. Ranjitha Ramchandran along with Mr. M. K. Jani and Mr. M. M. Pandya.
Respondent No. 4	:	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited Laxmi Nagar, Nana Mava Main Road District: Rajkot.
Represented by	:	Ld. Adv. Ms. Ranjitha Ramchandran along with Mr. K. J. Patel, Mr. D. V. Gojiya and Mr. D. N. Doshi.

CORAM:

Pankaj Joshi, Chairman
Jatin N. Thakkar, Member

Date: 05/09/2026.

DAILY ORDER

1. The matter was kept for hearing on 02.09.2026 through hybrid mode.

2. At the outset, Ld. Adv. Ms. Ranjitha Ramachandran appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 3 GUVNL and Respondent No. 4 PGVCL, submitted that the Respondents have filed their respective reply in the matter. However, the Respondents have not received any rejoinder reply to the reply filed by the Respondents. She submitted that the Petitioner has to clear their stand as to whether they want to file their rejoinder reply to the reply filed by the Respondents and the matter

may be listed on another date after completion of pleading as also the arguing counsel for the Respondents is unable to attend today's hearing due to personal difficultly. Accordingly, she requested that the Commission while adjourning the matter, may also direct the Petitioner to file its rejoinder reply, if any, to the reply of the Respondents GUVNL and PGVCL.

3. Ld. Adv. Ms. Khyati Chugh, appearing on behalf of Respondent No. 2 GEDA, submitted that she has been recently appointed in the present matter and accordingly sought permission of the Commission to file the Vakalatnama. She further submitted that the Respondent GEDA has not received a copy of the Petition. Accordingly, she requested that the Commission may direct the Petitioner to provide a copy of Petition to the GEDA to enable them to file its reply for which four weeks' time has been sought.

4. In response to the above submission, Ld. Adv. Mr. Naman Kinkhabwala, appearing on behalf of the Petitioner requested the Commission to grant time for filing of rejoinder reply to the reply filed by the Respondents in the matter and also agreed to provide a copy of the Petition to the Respondent No. 2 GEDA. He also requested the Commission to list the matter after completion of the pleadings in the matter.

5. Heard the parties. We note the submission of the counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 GEDA that she has recently been engaged in the matter and accordingly, sought permission to file the Vakalatnama on behalf of GEDA. She further submitted that GEDA has not been provided with a copy of the Petition and therefore, requested the Commission to direct the Petitioner to provide a copy thereof to the Respondent No. 2 GEDA so as to enable it to file its reply for which

four weeks' time has been sought. In response, the counsel appearing for the Petitioner agreed to provide a copy of the Petition to Respondent No. 2 GEDA. The counsel for the Petitioner also requested the Commission to grant some time for filing its rejoinder in response to the replies filed by the Respondents.

5.1. Having considered the submissions made by the Ld. Advocates appearing for the respective parties, we deem it appropriate to adjourn the matter in order to grant reasonable opportunity to all the parties to complete the pleadings in the matter. Accordingly, the Petitioner is directed to provide a copy of the Petition to Respondent No. 2 GEDA, on or before 05.09.2026 and to file proof of service in this regard. The Respondent No. 2 GEDA shall thereafter file its reply, on or before 28.09.2026, with a copy to the Petitioner and other Respondents. The Petitioner will also have liberty to file its rejoinder, if any, to the replies filed by Respondents, on or before 03.10.2026.

6. Next date of hearing will be intimated separately.

7. Order accordingly.

Sd/-	**Sd/-**
[Jatin N. Thakkar]	**[Pankaj Joshi]**
Member	**Chairman**

Place: Gandhinagar.

Date: 05/09/2026.